2021 NY Slip Op 30532(U)

February 26, 2021

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: 155028/2019

Judge: Lyle E. Frank

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 57

INDEX NO. 155028/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/26/2021

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **NEW YORK COUNTY**

PRESENT:	HON. LYLE E. FRANK	PART	AS MOTION 52EFM			
	<i>Justice</i> X					
		INDEX NO.	155028/2019			
ADA SUAZC	Plaintiff,	MOTION DATE	N/A			
		MOTION SEQ. NO	o002			
	- V -					
LLC,PHILLIF LLC,VANBA SERVICES I PENN PLAZ	S 31ST STREET, LLC,PHILLIPS FOOD 35 PS FOODS LLC,VANBARTON GROUP RTON SERVICES LLC,VANBARTON NY LLC,VBGO PENN PLAZA FEE LLC,VBGO A LLC,THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK RTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION,					
	Defendant.					
	X					
VANBARTO VANBARTO LLC, VBGO	rd-Party 595794/2019					
	Plaintiff,					
	-against-					
QUALITY BU	JILDING SERVICES CORP.					
	Defendant. X					
	e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document nu, 41, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 54, 55, 56	umber (Motion 002)	32, 33, 34, 35, 36,			
were read on	vere read on this motion to/for					
Upon	the foregoing documents, the decision of the Co	ourt is as follows:				
This a	action arises out of injuries allegedly sustained b	oy plaintiff on Feb	oruary 22, 2018.			
Plaintiff alleg	ges she slipped and fell on the sidewalk adjacent	t to Friedman's Ro	estaurant located at			
132 West 31s	st Street in New York County. Defendant/Third	l-party plaintiff m	oves for summary			
judgment on	the grounds that the condition that plaintiff alleg	ges caused her acc	cident is not			

INDEX NO. 155028/2019 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/26/2021

actionable. Plaintiff opposes the instant motion. Based on the reasons set forth below, the motion is granted, and the complaint is dismissed in its entirety.

Summary Judgment Standard

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 57

Summary Judgment should not be granted where there is any doubt as to the existence of a material issue of fact. Zuckerman v City of New York, 49 NY2d 557, 562 [1980].

The function of the court when presented with a motion for Summary Judgment is one of issue finding, not issue determination. Sillman v Twentieth Century-Fox Film Corp., 3 NY2d 395 [1957]; Weiner v Ga-Ro Die Cutting, Inc., 104 AD2d 331, [1st Dept 1984] aff'd 65 NY2d 732 [1985].

The proponent of a motion for summary judgment must tender sufficient evidence to show the absence of any material issue of fact and the right to entitlement to judgment as a matter of law. Alvarez v Prospect Hospital, 68 NY2d 320 [1986]; Winegrad v New York University Medical Center, 64 NY2d 851 [1985]. Summary judgment is a drastic remedy that deprives a litigant of his or her day in court. Therefore, the party opposing a motion for summary judgment is entitled to all favorable inferences that can be drawn from the evidence submitted and the papers will be scrutinized in a light most favorable to the non-moving party. Assaf v Ropog Cab Corp., 153 AD2d 520 [1st Dept 1989]. Summary judgment will only be granted if there are no material, triable issues of fact Sillman v Twentieth Century-Fox Film Corp., 3 NY2d 395 [1957].

Facts

Plaintiff testified at a hearing, pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-h. See NYSCEF doc. 40. Plaintiff testified in relevant part that at the time of the incident it was lightly raining. *Id*

155028/2019 Motion No. 002

Page 2 of 4

COUNTY CLERK 02/26/2021

INDEX NO. 155028/2019 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/26/2021

at 7:10-14. Plaintiff further testified that the sidewalk where she fell was caused to be slippery by water, and that her body was wet after the fall. Id at 15:14-18, 16:3-4.

Discussion

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 57

In support of its motion defendant/third-party plaintiff, cites to plaintiff's 50h testimony to establish its *prima facie* case. Movant contends that a wet sidewalk, while it is raining is not an actionable condition. The Court agrees, as "the mere fact that the driveway apron was wet from the rain is insufficient to establish a dangerous condition" Richardson v Campanelli, 297 AD2d 794 [2d Dept 2002] internal citations omitted.

Contrary to plaintiff's contentions at oral argument, movant was not required to attach a climatological report to prove that it was in fact raining; plaintiff's own testimony was sufficient to establish that fact. In opposition, plaintiff attempt to dispute her own testimony with an uncertified record from timeanddate.com, is insufficient to create a question of fact. Although not raised in the opposition papers, during oral argument, plaintiff attempted to create an issue of fact by citing to her Notice of Claim and Verified Complaint, wherein among a plethora of other allegations, plaintiff claims black ice caused her accident. The Court does not find that plaintiff's pleadings create an issue fact as her testimony, which was taken for the purpose to amplify her pleadings, specifically identifies the cause of her accident. Plaintiff has failed to rebut movants initial showing that condition is not a dangerous condition as a matter of law.

It is well established that the Court "may search the record and grant summary judgment to any nonmoving party without the necessity of a cross motion" (Maggio v 24 W. 57 APF, LLC, 134 AD3d 621, 628 [1st Dept 2015]). After searching the record, the non-movants are equally entitled to judgment as a matter of law as it is established that the condition complained of is actionable.

155028/2019 Motion No. 002

Page 3 of 4

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 02/26/2021 12:39 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 57

INDEX NO. 155028/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/26/2021

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that the plaintiff's complaint is dismissed in its entirety, and the Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment accordingly.

2/26/2021				20210226123956LFR.NKE568A440EB7446A5BFEACE551B42ED29		
DATE				LYLE E. FRANK, J.S.C.		
CHECK ONE:	х	CASE DISPOSED		NON-FINAL DISPOSITION		
	х	GRANTED DEN	IIED	GRANTED IN PART	OTHER	
APPLICATION:		SETTLE ORDER		SUBMIT ORDER		
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:		INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSI	GN	FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT	REFERENCE	