## Haygood v Prince Holdings 2012, LLC

2021 NY Slip Op 31535(U)

May 6, 2021

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: 155091/2016

Judge: Alexander M. Tisch

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

INDEX NO. 155091/2016

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 132

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/07/2021

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT:	HON. ALEXANDER M. TISCH		PART I	AS MOTION 18EFN		
		Justice				
		X	INDEX NO.	155091/2016		
JONATHAN	HAYGOOD,		MOTION DATE	07/03/2021		
	Plaintiff					
			MOTION SEQ. NO	004		
	- V -					
	LDINGS 2012, LLC,STEVEN CROMAN, ROMAN, OREN GOLDSTEIN, JANETH	DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION				
	Defendants.					
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	X				
The following	e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF docu	ument nun	nber (Motion 004) 1	28, 129, 130, 131		
were read on	this motion to/for	CONSOLIDATE/JOIN FOR TRIAL				
Upon	the foregoing documents, plaintiff mo	ves to res	tore a related mat	ter to active status,		
a joint trial (d	or re-trial) with the same, and related re	lief.	•			

It is clear that the related matter, *Ruggerino v Prince Holdings 2012, LLC*, index number 156640/2016, was never disposed. Although defendants argue that the motion to restore should be made under that index number, this motion seeks the related relief of a joint trial and is properly made under this index number, as notice to all parties in both matters was properly provided.

"When actions involving a common question of law or fact are pending before a court, the court, upon motion, may order a joint trial of any or all the matters in issue, may order the actions consolidated, and may make such other orders concerning proceedings therein as may tend to avoid unnecessary costs or delay" (CPLR 602[a]). "Where common questions of law or fact exist," the motion "should be granted absent a showing of prejudice to a substantial right by the party opposing the motion" (Longo v Fogg, 150 AD3d 724, 725 [2d Dept 2017]). "Although

INDEX NO. 155091/2016

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 132

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/07/2021

the trial court's discretion in determining a motion for a joint trial is wide, the interests of justice and judicial economy are better served by joint trials wherever possible" (Megyesi v Automotive Rentals, Inc., 115 AD2d 596, 596 [2d Dept 1985]).

Here, the plaintiffs in both matters seek rent overcharges based upon an alleged unlawful "high rent vacancy" deregulation, involve the same apartment building and same defendants/landlord. With overlap of witnesses, the Court finds that it would avoid unnecessary costs and delays to have a joint trial so that the witnesses testify during one scheduled appearance, as a couple of them had overlapped in the previous trial proceedings (e.g., Yow, O'Sullivan, and Croman). The Court does not find that it would be confused by hearing the issues on both cases, or improperly use evidence submitted regarding one apartment as if it also pertained to the other. The Court, in its discretion in managing this nonjury trial, will ensure that the matters are dealt with separately as necessary.

The balance of plaintiff's motion, seeking to incorporate and adopt pre-trial rulings and orders in the previous trial is denied. The pre-trial order issued by Judge Feinman (NYSCEF Doc. No. 94) will not be applicable. Most of the directives set forth therein are already codified in Part 202 of the Uniform Rules for Trial Courts, and the Court will rely on these rules and any other directives as indicated below / set forth in a separate pre-trial order.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion is granted in part as set forth herein; and it is further

ORDERED that *Ruggerino v Prince Holdings 2012, LLC*, index number 156640/2016, is hereby restored to active status; and it is further

ORDERED that the above-captioned action shall be jointly tried with *Ruggerino v Prince Holdings 2012, LLC*, index number 156640/2016, pending in this Court; and it is further

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/07/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 132

ORDERED that, within 30 days from entry of this order, counsel for plaintiff in this matter shall serve a copy of this order with notice of entry upon the General Clerk's Office (60 Centre Street, Room 119) and the Clerk of the General Clerk's Office shall restore *Ruggerino v Prince Holdings 2012, LLC*, index number 156640/2016, to active status and assign said action to the undersigned for a joint trial; and it is further

ORDERED that service upon the Clerk of the General Clerk's Office shall be made in accordance with the procedures set forth in the *Protocol on Courthouse and County Clerk Procedures for Electronically Filed Cases* (accessible at the "E-Filing" page on the court's website at the address www.nycourts.gov/supctmanh); and it is further

ORDERED that the motion is otherwise denied; and it is further

ORDERED that the pre-trial conference will be scheduled on Tuesday, July 6 at 2:30 pm with the trial anticipated start date on Monday July 12.

At or before the pre-trial conference, counsel are directed to provide marked pleadings and copies of relevant statutory provisions pursuant to 22 NYCRR Section 202.35 (a) and (b); and a witness list pursuant to Section 202.37.

Prior to the pre-trial conference, pursuant to 22 NYCRR Section 202.26(b) counsel shall confer with each other in good faith and be prepared to outline all issues requiring court intervention at pre-trial conference or prior to commencement (which will include anticipated motions in limine).

By the pre-trial conference or before the commencement of the trial, counsel shall, pursuant Section 202.34. consult each other regarding exhibits for pre-marking.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Which states in relevant part: "If the court in an e-filed case directs that either Clerk be served through NYSCEF or in accordance with this Protocol, the filer shall post to NYSCEF a copy of the order, with notice of entry if the court requires such notice. If the order directs service on the Clerk of the General Clerk's Office, the filer shall make the submission using the NYSCEF document type 'Service on Supreme Court Clerk (Genl. Clerk) w/Copy of Order."

INDEX NO. 155091/2016 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/07/2021

By the pre-trial conference or before the commencement of the trial, counsel shall, provide a binder of exhibits for the Court's use pursuant to Section 202.20-h (b).

By the pre-trial conference or before the commencement of the trial, counsel shall, alert the Part Clerk if interpreters or technical equipment are requested.

No pre-trial memoranda are required in this matter (see 22 NYCRR §§ 202. 35 [c] and 202.20-h [a]).

Before the case is submitted, the Court will direct the parties to submit requests for findings of fact and post-trial memoranda (see CPLR 4213).

This shall constitute the DECISION and ORDER of this Court.

5/6/2021							
DATE					ALEXANDER M. T	ISCH,	J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:		CASE DISPOSED		х	NON-FINAL DISPOSITION	,	1
		GRANTED	DENIED	X	GRANTED IN PART		OTHER
APPLICATION:		SETTLE ORDER			SUBMIT ORDER		_
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:	х	INCLUDES TRANSF	ER/REASSIGN		FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT		REFERENCE

155091/2016 HAYGOOD, JONATHAN vs. PRINCE HOLDINGS 2012, LLC Motion No. 004

Page 4 of 4