Reeves v	\merican	Tr	ns (വ
INCOVCO V F	Tille leal		1113.	5 0.

2021 NY Slip Op 32225(U)

November 9, 2021

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 100091/2021

Judge: Carol R. Edmead

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

INDEX NO. 100091/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/09/2021

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT:	HON. CAROL EDMEAD	_ PART	35	
	Justice			
	X	INDEX NO.	100091/2021	
MICHAEL R	REEVES,		09/23/2021,	
	Plaintiff,	MOTION DATE	10/26/2021	
	- V -	MOTION SEQ. NO.	004 005	
	TRANSIT INSURANCE COMPANY, ANDREW			
LI, CHERYL	LI, CHERYL GRAVES, DECISION + ORDER MOTION			
	Defendant.	IVIOTIC	JIN .	
	X			
The following 47	e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document r	number (Motion 004) 42	2, 43, 44, 45, 46,	
were read on	this motion to/for O	ORDER OF PROTECTION		
The following 56, 57, 58	e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document r	number (Motion 005) 51	1, 52, 53, 54, 55,	
were read on	this motion to/for DIS	SCOVERY - PRE-ACTI	ON	
In this specia	al proceeding, Plaintiff Michael Reeves seeks a	n award of no-fault/lo	ost wages	
benefits fron	n American Transit Insurance Company ("ATC	"), a no-fault insuran	ce company, for	
lost wages st	temming from an accident Plaintiff suffered on	May 23, 2020.		
_				
On February	18, 2021, Plaintiff commenced this proceeding	g by Order to Show C	lause (the first	
OSC) seekin	g preliminary injunctive relief in the form of a	temporary restraining	g order (TRO)	
directing AT	C to make the no-fault payments, as well as "p	unitive and compensa	atory damages	
for the unfair	r denial of benefits" (Mot. Seq. 001). Plaintiff's	s first OSC named An	drew Li and	
Cheryl Grave	es, employees of ATC, as additional defendants	s. All three Defendant	ts cross-moved	
for denial of	the first OSC and dismissal of the action. Plain	tiff subsequently mov	ved for an order	

100091/2021 REEVES, MICHAEL vs. AMERICAN TRANSIT INSURANCE Motion No. $\,$ 004 005

to "expedite" this matter (Motion Seq. 002)

Page 1 of 4

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 60

[* 2]

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/09/2021

On June 2, 2021, this Court issued its Decision and Order denying both Motion Seqs. 001 and

002 (NYSCEF doc No. 20). The Court found that Plaintiff's first OSC was not properly served

on Defendants, as the papers were mailed and not personally served as directed. The Court

further noted that Plaintiff's TRO request also failed on the merits, as Plaintiff was unable to

demonstrate a probability of success on the merits given the outstanding questions of fact

regarding whether he was employed on the date of his accident. With respect to Defendants'

cross-motion to dismiss the proceeding under Motion Seq. 001, the Court found that Plaintiff had

not established a basis to assert direct claims against Mr. Li and Ms. Graves, and thus dismissed

them both from this action. The Court also dismissed all causes of action against ATC except for

Plaintiff's breach of contract claim for lost wages.

On June 24, 2021, Plaintiff again moved by OSC, seeking an order directing ATC to pay his no-

fault benefits (Motion Seq. 003). Although not a motion for reargument or renewal, Motion Seq.

003 essentially challenged the Court's order denying Motion Seqs. 001 and 002 by arguing that

the Court improperly relied on a "defective" unsigned Examination Under Oath (EUO) transcript

of Plaintiff's deposition testimony conducted by an ATC claims investigator in January 2021.

Plaintiff argued that as the Court did not dismiss his breach of contract claim for no fault

benefits, Motion Seq. 003 was proper, and he attached various pieces of evidence supporting his

claim that he was employed the date of his accident, including email correspondence and copies

of his 2019 tax returns.

By Decision and Order dated September 9, 2021, the Court issued a decision resolving Motion

Seq. 003 (NYSCEF doc No. 40), in which it held that while the motion was essentially an

100091/2021 REEVES, MICHAEL vs. AMERICAN TRANSIT INSURANCE

Page 2 of 4

Motion No. 004 005

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/09/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 60

[* 3]

improper application for reargument that could not be entertained, Plaintiff was entitled to

present his evidence in a hearing before a Special Referee for determination on his remaining

cause of action for lost wage benefits ("the Order of Reference"). The Order of Reference

directed that "the matter of Plaintiff's entitlement to no fault benefits under § 5102(a)(2) and all

issues raised in action, including, inter alia, whether Plaintiff was employed on the date of his

accident and whether the injuries Plaintiff suffered due to his accident rendered him unable to

work, are hereby referred to a Special Referee to Hear and Determine." The Order of Reference

marked this action disposed as all remaining issues in the action were referred to a Special

Referee to Hear and Determine.

On September 14, 2021, Plaintiff filed Motion Seq. 004, seeking a protective order as to certain

requests for admissions in ATC's Notice to Admit (NYSCEF doc No. 42). ATC then filed

opposition, arguing that the motion failed to state any basis for a protective order under New

York Law (NYSCEF doc No. 46).

On October 12, 2021, Plaintiff filed Motion Seq. 005, seeking a "continuance" of this action in

the interest of justice. ATC opposed on the grounds that Plaintiff stated no basis for a

continuance, given that the Special Referee hearing had not yet been scheduled and the parties

had previously been directed by the Court via email that pre-trial discovery could proceed

pending the hearing (NYSCEF doc No. 55).

Given that the Order of Reference referred the entirety of the issues raised in this action to a

Special Refer to Hear and Determine, Motion Seqs. 004 and 005 are not properly before this

100091/2021 REEVES, MICHAEL vs. AMERICAN TRANSIT INSURANCE

Motion No. 004 005

Page 3 of 4

3 of 4

[*4] NYSCEF DOC. NO. 60 INDEX NO. 100091/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/09/2021

Court. Additionally, the direction given to the parties to proceed with discovery was contrary to the Court's Order of Reference. All applications, including applications related to discovery, should instead be presented to the Special Referee once this matter is calendared for a hearing.

Therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED that Motion Seq. 004 and 005 are denied without prejudice; and it is further ORDERED that all further motions and applications related to this matter shall be presented before the Special Referee pursuant to the Court's Order of Reference dated September 9, 2021.

	9	202(1109153919CED)NEADBCD 054B8678674660F916829FBD51F0EC
11/9/2021	_	
DATE		CAROL EDMEAD, J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	X CASE DISPOSED	NON-FINAL DISPOSITION
	GRANTED DENIED	GRANTED IN PART X OTHER
APPLICATION:	SETTLE ORDER	SUBMIT ORDER
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:	INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN	FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT REFERENCE