## **Scott v Leventhal**

2021 NY Slip Op 32389(U)

October 29, 2021

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 656211/2017

Judge: Debra A. James

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

INDEX NO. 656211/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/29/2021

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT:	HON. DEBRA JAMES		PART 59	
		Justice		
		X	INDEX NO.	656211/2017
PATRICIA SCOTT, AS EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF PATRICK FLEMING,			MOTION DATE	10/20/2020
	Plaintiff,		MOTION SEQ. NO.	012 014
	- V -			
JASON LEVENTHAL and LEVENTHAL LAW GROUP, P.C.,			DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION	
	Defendants.			
		X		
The following 226, 227, 228	e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF	document nun	nber (Motion 012) 22	2, 223, 224, 225
vere read on this motion to/for VACATE/S		FRIKE - NOTE OF ISSUE/JURY		
	e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303	document nun	nber (Motion 014) 29	0, 291, 292, 293
were read on this motion to/for REA		REARGU	RGUMENT/RECONSIDERATION .	
	OF	DER_		

Upon the foregoing documents, it is

ORDERED that the motion of plaintiff for leave to reargue her motion for summary judgment and defendants' cross motion for summary judgment (motion sequence number 014) is denied; and it is further

ORDERED that the motion to vacate the note of issue (motion sequence number 012) pursuant to 22 NYCRR § 202.21(e) is granted and the note of issue is vacated and the case is stricken from the trial calendar; and it is further

656211/2017 SCOTT, PATRICIA vs. LEVENTHAL, ESQ., JASON Motion No. 012 014

Page 1 of 4

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 314

[\* 2] INDEX NO. 656211/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/29/2021

ORDERED that all further discovery in this matter shall be completed within seventy-five (75) days from service of a copy of this order with notice of entry; and it is further

ORDERED that on November 29, 2021, counsel are directed to post on NYSCEF a proposed Status Conference Order and proposed competing Status Conferences Orders setting forth the date that plaintiff shall produce (or has produced) to defendants a signed, before a notary public, copy of her examination before trial and post deposition documents, in the form of an affidavit of plaintiff, signed before a notary public; and it is further

ORDERED that, within fifteen (15) days from service of a copy of this order with notice of the entry of this order, movant shall serve a copy of this order with notice of entry on all parties and upon the Clerk of the General Clerk's Office (60 Centre Street, Room 119), who is hereby directed to strike the case from the trial calendar and make all required notations thereof in the records of the court; and it is further

ORDERED that, within twenty (20) days from completion of discovery as hereinabove directed, the plaintiff shall cause the action to be placed upon the trial calendar by the filing of a new note of issue and certificate of readiness (for which no fee shall be imposed), to which shall be attached a copy of this order; and it is further

656211/2017 SCOTT, PATRICIA vs. LEVENTHAL, ESQ., JASON Motion No. 012 014

Page 2 of 4

INDEX NO. 656211/2017

[\* 3]

ORDERED that such service upon the Clerk of the General Clerk's Office shall be made in accordance with the procedures set forth in the *Protocol on Courthouse and County Clerk Procedures* for Electronically Filed Cases (accessible at the "E-Filing" page on the court's website at the address www.nycourts.gov/supctmanh).

## DECISION

Plaintiff does not disagree that she had not produced a copy of her deposition transcript, signed before a notary public at the time she filed her Note of Issue. Nor had she responded to defendants post deposition notice for the production of documents. Moreover, while clearly there would be no pediatric care records for plaintiff's adult son, plaintiff attorney's letter is otherwise non-responsive to defendants' notice for post deposition discovery. For example, his statement that certain documents demanded are irrelevant is inapposite, as irrelevancy is the standard for admissibility of evidence. Whether a document is "material and necessary to the resolution of the issues", a lower standard, governs the question of what evidence is discoverable. See Allen v Crowell-Collier Publishing Company, 21 NY2d 403, 405-406 (1968). Furthermore, as plaintiff's attorney has no personal knowledge of the facts of the underlying claims of negligence and assault against the City of New York, his statement is non-evidentiary. Therefore, in order to comply with defendants' post deposition discovery

656211/2017 SCOTT, PATRICIA vs. LEVENTHAL, ESQ., JASON Motion No. 012 014

Page 3 of 4

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 314

[\* 4]

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/29/2021

demand, plaintiff's counsel shall submit an affidavit, signed by his client, that states her knowledge, if any, of which hotel and/or construction company employed her son and the names of medical providers who treated, and administered medication, if any, to her son, for the injuries she alleges he suffered as a result of the assault. Certainly, such records would be relevant to pain and suffering and diminution of plaintiff decedent's enjoyment of life, inter alia, portion of plaintiff's claim for damages.

As a material fact in the certificate of readiness was incorrect, the note of issue must be vacated. Villa Josefa Realty Corp. 28 AD3d 389 (1st Dept 2006).

Jera A-Janes 1211029151927DJAMESF159CE724C6E4E55997DB946419A3C85 10/29/2021 DEBRA JAMES, J.S.C. DATE **CHECK ONE:** CASE DISPOSED **NON-FINAL DISPOSITION** GRANTED **DENIED** Х **GRANTED IN PART OTHER** APPLICATION: **SETTLE ORDER SUBMIT ORDER** REFERENCE **CHECK IF APPROPRIATE: INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN** FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT