W Mgt. Servs. LTD v Fanning

2021 NY Slip Op 32519(U)

November 30, 2021

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 650727/2021

Judge: Andrew Borrok

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

INDEX NO. 650727/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/30/2021

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: HON. ANDREW BORROK		PARI	53	
	Justice			
	X	INDEX NO.	650727/2021	
W MANAGEMENT SERVICES LTD		MOTION DATE	04/08/2021	
Plaintiff,		MOTION SEQ. NO.	001	
- V -				
OISIN FANNING,		DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION		
Defendant.				
	X			
The following e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF d were read on this motion to/for	locument numb	per (Motion 001) 8, 9), 10, 11, 13	
Oisin Fanning's motion to dismiss, or in the altern	native, to stri	ke the claim for liq	uidated	
damages by W Management Services Ltd. (W M	(anagement)	must be granted. S	imply put, the	
Make Whole Payment set forth in the Margin Ler	nding Agreen	nent Term Sheet (th	he Term Sheet;	
NYSCEF Doc. No. 3) and incorporated into the M	Margin Lendi	ng Agreement (the	\mathbf{MLA}^1 ; the	
MLA together with the Term Sheet, hereinafter, o	collectively th	ne Loan Documen	ts; NYSCEF	
Doc. No. 2), each dated as of February 12, 2020 b	by and between	en W Management	and Mr.	
Fanning, pursuant to which W Management agree	ed to make a	£15,000,000 margi	in loan facility	
(the Loan) to Mr. Fanning is an unenforceable pe	enalty (JMD I	Holding Corp. v Co	ongress Fin.	
Corp., 4 NY3d 373, 380 [2005]) when viewed from	om the time th	nat the MLA was e	executed because	
it calculates damages based on default interest on	the entire Lo	oan balance for the	entire term	
when no Loan was advanced by the lender, W Ma	anagement an	nd there was no out	tstanding loan	
amount for a single day. W Management's argum	nent that the N	Make Whole Paym	ent is not a	

 $^{^{1}}$ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed thereto in the Loan Documents.

[* 2]

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 20 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/30/2021

penalty because it reflects their potential lost trading profits on the collateral when compared to

returns on exchange trade funds or the S&P 500 fails. This analysis and assumption of success is

simply too speculative to save these otherwise unenforceable liquidated damage penalty

provision.

Pursuant to the terms of the Loan Documents, Mr. Fanning was required to deliver capital shares

of SLE:LN no later than December 11, 2020. Section 2 of the Term Sheet provides that the

failure to deliver the Collateral relieved W Management of its obligation to fund the Loan. It

also provided that W Management was entitled to liquidated damages equal to the default

interest rate multiplied by the entire principal amount of the Loan as if, and regardless of

whether, such amount had been advanced for the entire term of the Loan even when no portion

of the Loan was outstanding for a single day (NYSCEF Doc. No. 3, at 2):

The Make Whole Payment, pursuant to the Repayment provision of the Term Sheet:

The Make Whole Payment is equal to the maximum Interest Rate on the aggregate principal amount of the Loan from the date of default, Hard Trigger Event or repayment until the Maturity Date, less the amount of interest on such principal amount that Lender would have earned if such principal amount was invested at the now-current US Fed Funds rate, plus all of Lender's cost, expense and exposure to break, unwind and/or cancel any trade, hedging or derivative contract or agreement related to the Collateral. (the "Breakage Expense"), provided, however, that in no event will Borrower be responsible for Breakage Expense greater than GBP 1.5 million.

(id. at 4).

650727/2021 W MANAGEMENT SERVICES LTD vs. FANNING, OISIN Motion No. 001

Page 2 of 4

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 20

[* 3]

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/30/2021

It is undisputed that Mr. Fanning never delivered the shares and that as a result W Management never advanced one penny of the contemplated £15,000,000 loan and that no portion of the loan was outstanding for any portion of the anticipated term of the loan.

W Management sent Mr. Fanning a default letter on December 18, 2020 and sued Mr. Fanning on February 2, 2021 for breach of contract. Mr. Fanning filed a motion to dismiss on March 8, 2021 to dismiss, or alternatively to strike, W Management's liquidated damages claim.

For a liquidated damages provision to be enforceable, the provision must (a) be reasonably proportional to the probable loss caused by the breach and (b) the amount of damages is incapable or difficult to estimate (JMD Holding Corp. v Congress Fin. Corp., 4 NY3d at 380). Showing that the liquidated damages amount is grossly disproportionate to the actual suffered losses makes the provision an unenforceable penalty (id.). Loss of future profits may be recovered only when the damages can be determined with reasonable certainty and are not merely speculative (Kenford Co. v County of Erie, 67 NY2d 257, 261 [1986]). It is well established law that the appropriate measure of damages is the loss sustained or gains prevented at the time of the breach, even when the breach is the non-delivery of stock, the value of which subsequently changes (Kaminsky v Herrick, Feinstein LLP, 59 AD3d 1 [1st Dept 2008]).

W Management's argument that the liquidated damages provision is valid because the stipulated that they are sophisticated (NYSCEF Doc. No. 2, § 11(0)) and that they agreed the provision was not a penalty (id., § 3(a)) fails. This is a determination to be made by the court as a matter of law (PacifiCorp Capital v Tano, Inc., 877 F Supp 180, 184 [SDNY Feb 27 1995]). The formula of

650727/2021 W MANAGEMENT SERVICES LTD vs. FANNING, OISIN Motion No. 001

Page 3 of 4

[* 4]
NYSCEF DOC. NO. 20

INDEX NO. 650727/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/30/2021

Management where they have advanced no money whatsoever as if they have advanced the entire amount of the potential loan for the entire loan term. This is not reasonably proportional to any ascertainable loss. It is of no moment that W Management may have been able to make money on the Collateral had it been delivered. It may have lost money too. The loss, if any, where no loan was advanced and no risk was taken by W Management, was any due diligence and transaction costs it may have incurred in underwriting and putting together the deal. Therefore, the motion must be granted and cause of action for damages for liquidated damages must be dismissed.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Oisin Fanning's motion to dismiss W Management's claim for liquidated damages is granted.

		20211130145256ABORROKBA81E546B088AFD59B 119E4AF3090D3E				
11/30/2021		<i>,</i> , ,				
DATE	_			ANDREW BORRO	OK, J	.S.C.
CHECK ONE:		CASE DISPOSED	х	NON-FINAL DISPOSITION		
	х	GRANTED DENIED		GRANTED IN PART		OTHER
APPLICATION:		SETTLE ORDER		SUBMIT ORDER		_
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:		INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN		FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT		REFERENCE

650727/2021 W MANAGEMENT SERVICES LTD vs. FANNING, OISIN Motion No. 001

Page 4 of 4