

**Country-Wide Ins. Co. v M EL Sayed Physical
Therapy, P.C.**

2022 NY Slip Op 31874(U)

June 9, 2022

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 654364/2021

Judge: Frank P. Nervo

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
NEW YORK COUNTY**

PRESENT: HON. FRANK NERVO PART 04

Justice

-----X

COUNTRY-WIDE INSURANCE COMPANY

Plaintiff,

- v -

M EL SAYED PHYSICAL THERAPY, P.C.,

Defendant.

-----X

INDEX NO. 654364/2021

MOTION DATE 07/15/2021

MOTION SEQ. NO. 001

**AMENDED DECISION,
ORDER, AND JUDGMENT
ON MOTION**

The following e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document number (Motion 001) 2, 9, 10 were read on this motion to/for VACATE - DECISION/ORDER/JUDGMENT/AWARD.

The Court’s decision under NYSCEF Doc. No. 11 is amended as below.

Petitioner seeks to vacate the award of a master arbitrator finding petitioner failed to argue or provide clear citations to evidence upon which petitioner relied to deny the claims and awarding respondent \$1,385.16. Petitioner contends that the evidence was provided and the arbitrator simply failed to consider same. Petitioner further contends that the master arbitrator improperly failed to vacate the award, after petitioner provided citations to its exhibits on appeal. The application is unopposed.

As relevant here, petitioner denied the claims at issue on the basis that the insured failed to appear for examination. In support of this claim,

petitioner offered testimony from employees with knowledge of procedures but without personal knowledge regarding the insured appearance at examination; these employees averred that the insured's name did not appear in sign-in logs kept to record those who appear for examination. The arbitrator found that the logs relied upon by the affiants were not provided as evidence and, in weighing the employees' testimony purportedly relying on the missing logs, found petitioner had not met its burden of establishing the insured failed to appear at all scheduled examination dates. On appeal to the master arbitrator, petitioner claimed that the logs were provided and simply overlooked by the arbitrator. However, the master arbitrator found that the logs forming the heart of petitioner's claim appeared no sooner than on page 195 of the 260 pages of exhibits submitted and were not effectively highlighted by counsel. Consequently, the master arbitrator affirmed the award finding that the arbitrator had not acted arbitrarily nor capriciously, and that petitioner merely failed to effectively argue its claim before the arbitrator.

It is not the duty of the arbiter, be it an arbitrator or Court, to parse though hundreds of pages of exhibits to make a out a claim or defense for a party (*see e.g. Barsella v. City of New York*, 82 AD2d 747, 748 [1st Dept 1981]); such duty belongs to counsel, as advocate. Failing to elucidate evidence in

support of a party's claim is not error of the arbitrator but is rather error of counsel, and such failure does not render an arbitrator's award arbitrary and capricious (see *Stephen Fogel Psychological, P.C. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.*, 35 AD3d 720, 721 [2d 2006]).

Where a motion to vacate an arbitration award is denied, the Court must confirm the award (CPLR § 7511[e]; see also *Matter of Board of Educ. Of Ardsley Union Free School Dist., Town of Greenburgh v. Ardsley Congress of Teachers*, 78 AD2d 879 [2d Dept 1975]).

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the petition to vacate the master arbitrator's award dated April 16, 2021 is denied; and it is further


ORDERED that the master arbitrator's award of April 16, 2021, upholding the award in favor of respondent, is confirmed; and it is further

ORDERED that any requested relief not addressed herein has nevertheless been considered and is hereby denied; and it is further

ORDERED and ADJUDGED that respondent M EL SAYED PHYSICAL THERAPY, P.C does recover from petitioner COUNTRY-WIDE INSURANCE COMPANY the amount of \$1,385.16, plus interest at the rate of 9% per annum from the date of April 16, 2021, as computed by the Clerk in the amount of \$ _____, together with costs and disbursements in the amount of \$ _____ as taxed by the Clerk, for the total amount of \$ _____, and that the respondent has execution therefor.

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION, ORDER, AND JUDGMENT OF THE COURT.

6/9/2022
DATE



HON. FRANK P. NERVO
J.S.C.

CHECK ONE:

CASE DISPOSED
 GRANTED DENIED

NON-FINAL DISPOSITION
 GRANTED IN PART
 SUBMIT ORDER

OTHER

APPLICATION:

SETTLE ORDER
 INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN

FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT

REFERENCE

CHECK IF APPROPRIATE: