# 233 W. 4th St. Tenants Assn. v New York State Liq. Auth.

2022 NY Slip Op 32624(U)

August 3, 2022

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 153773/2022

Judge: Lyle E. Frank

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/03/2022 12:55 PM

INDEX NO. 153773/2022 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/03/2022

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **NEW YORK COUNTY**

PRESENT:	HON. LYLE E. FRANK	PART	11M				
	<i>Justice</i> X		450550				
	TH STREET TENANTS ASSOCIATION, et al	INDEX NO.	153773/2022				
233 WEST 4		MOTION DATE	05/13/2022				
	Petitioner,	MOTION SEQ. NO.	001				
	- v -						
NEW YORK	STATE LIQUOR AUTHORITY,		DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION				
	Respondent.	MOTIC					
	X						
	e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document no 18, 19, 20, 21	umber (Motion 001) 2, 7	7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12,				
were read on t	this motion to/for ARTIC	CLE 78 (BODY OR OFF	FICER) .				
Petitic	oners seek an order pursuant to CPLR Article	78, to vacate, set asid	e, and annul the				
Declaratory R	Ruling issued on January 26, 2022 by the respo	ondent New York Sta	te Liquor				
Authority (the	e "Authority") granting a "grandfather" except	tion to the public inter	rest requirements				
of Alcoholic	Beverage Control Law ("ABCL") §64(7)(b) a	nd §64(7)(f) for a liqu	or license issued				
to the premise	es located at 225-231 West 4th Street, New Yo	ork, New York 10014	and to vacate,				
set aside, and	annul the Authority's denial on February 16,	2022 of a request by t	he Petitioner				
Tenants Association for reconsideration of the Ruling. Respondent opposes the instant petition.							
For the reason	ns set forth below, the petition is granted.						
<u>Facts</u>							
On Ja	nuary 26, 2022, the Authority issued a declara	ntory ruling that grant	ed a grandfather				

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 22

exemption to the premises at 225-231 West 4th Street, New York, New York 10014<sup>1</sup>. The

153773/2022 Motion No. 001

Page 1 of 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See NYSCEF Doc. 3.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 22

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/03/2022

Authority granted this exemption despite the Authority's acknowledgement that the location had been unlicensed since September 1, 2017 and without a public hearing.

In the written ruling, the Authority cites two reasons to justify the ruling: (1) that there was no intervening use of the premises and (2) the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on the bar/restaurant industry. On February 16, 2022, petitioner, 233 West 4th Street Tenants Association, requested, in writing, that the Authority reconsider the ruling, that request was denied and the original determination was upheld.

#### Article 78 Standard of Review

Article 78 review is permitted, where it is alleged a determination was made "in violation of lawful procedure, was affected by an error of law or was arbitrary and capricious or an abuse of discretion..." NY *CPLR* §7803(3).

"Arbitrary" for the purpose of the statute is interpreted as "when it is without sound basis in reason and is taken without regard to the facts." *Pell v Board of Ed. of Union Free School Dist. No. of the Towns of Scarsdale and Mamaroneck, Westchester Cty.* 34 NY2d 222, 231 [1974].

A court can overturn an administrative action only if the record illuminates there was no rational basis for the decision. *Id.* "Rationality is what is reviewed under both the substantial evidence rule and the arbitrary and capricious standard." *Id.* If the court reviewing the determination finds that "[the determination] is supported by facts or reasonable inferences that can be drawn from the records and has a rational basis in the law, it must be confirmed." *American Telephone & Telegraph v. State Tax Comm n* 61 NY2d 393, 400 [1984].

2 of 4

#### Alcohol Beverage Control Law

153773/2022 Motion No. 001

Page 2 of 4

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/03/2022

ABCL §64(7)(b) prohibits the Authority from issuing a retail license for the sale or consumption of liquor for on-premises consumption where there are three or more such liquor licenses within 500 feet of the proposed location. There is an exception, pursuant to ABCL §64(7)(f), where issuance of the license would be in the public interest after a public hearing and consultation with the local community board and one exemption, pursuant to ABCL §64(7)(c), known as the "grandfather" exemption, where there has been a full liquor license "continuously in existence" at the location since on or before November 1, 1993.

### **Discussion**

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

In opposition, respondent conclusory avers that its declaratory ruling was rational and not arbitrary and capricious as a matter of law, however, the Court does not agree. Respondent contends that the statute is vague and that the Authority's interpretation is rational and must be given deference.

The Court does not find the language in the statute to be vague, in contrast, it deems the subject provisions of the statute to be quite clear and finds that the Authority did act in contravention of the clear unambiguous language of the statute.

While the respondents argue that the Authority has generally allowed new applicants to be grandfathered-in provided: (1) that there was no intervening use of the premises as anything other than a bar or restaurant; and (2) in the absence of special circumstances, that no more than one-year has passed between the prior license becoming inactive and the new applicant filing an application, and that such one-year limit can be extended where there are extenuating circumstances (emphasis added). The Court finds that the Authority's action is in contravention of its own policy and does not provide any rational basis for excusing the 4 year and 5-month

3 of 4

153773/2022 Motion No. 001

Page 3 of 4

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 22

INDEX NO. 153773/2022 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/03/2022

gap in licensure, specifically the over two-year period before the Covid 19 pandemic. Accordingly, it is hereby

ADJUDGED that the petition is granted; and it is further

ORDERED that the Declaratory Ruling issued on January 26, 2022 by the Respondent New York State Liquor Authority granting a "grandfather" exception to the public interest requirements of Alcoholic Beverage Control Law ("ABCL") §64(7)(b) and §64(7)(f) for a liquor license located at 225-231 West 4th Street, New York, New York 10014, is vacated; and the matter is remanded to the New York State Liquor Authority to take action consistent with this Decision and Order.

8/3/2022			20220803120255LFR4NK0E8D14DE7C224F939DE9C1D9EFAD2107
DATE			LYLE E. FRANK, J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	Х	CASE DISPOSED	NON-FINAL DISPOSITION
	х	GRANTED DENIED	GRANTED IN PART OTHER
APPLICATION:		SETTLE ORDER	SUBMIT ORDER
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:		INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN	FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT REFERENCE

4 of 4