BDO USA, LLP v Morris

2022 NY Slip Op 33217(U)

September 23, 2022

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 652352/2020

Judge: Andrew S. Borrok

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

SUPREME COURT OF THE S COUNTY OF NEW YORK: C	OMMERCIAL DIVISION PAR	Γ 53				
BDO USA, LLP,	INDE	(NO652352/202				
Pla - v - STEPHEN MORRIS, JAMES ANDR	aintiff,	07/20/2022 07/27/2022 07/28/2022 08/04/2022 08/30/2022				
De	fendant. MOTI	ON DATE 09/08/2022				
	МОТІ	019 020 02 ON SEQ. NO. 022 023 02				
	CISION + ORDER ON MOTION					
HON. ANDREW S. BORROK: The following e-filed documents, lister 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 40	d by NYSCEF document number (M	otion 019) 316, 317, 318, 31				
were read on this motion to/for	DISMI	SS				
The following e-filed documents, lister 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 3 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 35	38, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 3					
were read on this motion to/for	DISCOV	DISCOVERY				
The following e-filed documents, listed 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 390, 390, 390, 390, 390, 390, 39	71, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 3	378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 38 3, 414, 415, 416, 417				
were read on this motion torior						
The following e-filed documents, liste were read on this motion to/for	ted by NYSCEF document number (Motion 022) 396, 397, 401 SEAL					
The following e-filed documents, liste were read on this motion to/for	d by NYSCEF document number (N SEA	·				
The following e-filed documents, listed 429, 430, 431, 432, 434, 435, 436, 43		otion 024) 425, 426, 427, 42				
were read on this motion to/for	ORDER OF PR	OTECTION				
652352/2020 BDO USA, LLP vs. MORRIS, Motion No. 019 020 021 022 023 024	STEPHEN	Page 1 of 5				

1 of 5

INDEX NO. 652352/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/23/2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 442

Upon the foregoing documents and for the reasons set forth on the record, BDO USA, LLP's (**BDO**) motion (Mtn. Seq. No. 019) to dismiss James Stiles' third amended counterclaims and Stephen Morris' (Mr. Styles and Mr. Morris, hereinafter, collectively, the **Defendants**) first counterclaim is denied with respect to the defamation counterclaim. On a motion to dismiss, the pleading is to be afforded a liberal construction and the Court must accept the facts as alleged as true, accord the plaintiff the benefit of every possible favorable inference, and determine only whether the facts as alleged fit any cognizable legal theory (Leon v Martinez, 84 NY2d 83, 87-88 [1994]). Simply put, although it is of course appropriate to inform a client that the person handling their account is no longer with the firm and introduce the person who will be assuming the relationship, there does not appear to be a legitimate purpose in indicating that the defendants were implicated in a conspiracy or for attaching the complaint or for indicating that Ms. Cozza was shocked other than to be mirch the Defendants. Stated differently, the emails can not be said to lack the "sting" of defamation. The claim for tortious interference however fails. In sum and substance, Mr. Stiles argues that BDO slow rolled work to its client Phlow to hurt his relationship with Phlow where he had gone to work. This can not be said to be conduct directed at Mr. Stiles (Arnon Ltd. V Beierwaltes, 125 AD3d 453, 454 [1st Dept 2015]). Indeed, taking the allegations as the court must at this stage of the litigation, it is BDO's relationship with Phlow that would be hurt – not Mr. Stiles. As previously discussed, the serving of legitimate subpoenas can also not be said to be the basis for this claim.

The motions to compel discovery (Mtn. Seq. Nos. 20 and 21) are granted to the extent set forth on the record.

652352/2020 BDO USA, LLP vs. MORRIS, STEPHEN Motion No. 019 020 021 022 023 024

Page 2 of 5

INDEX NO. 652352/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/23/2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 442

As discussed on the record, BDO's motions to seal (Mtn. Seq. Nos. 22 and 23) are granted solely to the extent of permitting BDO to redact the personal contact information contained in these documents and client information but is otherwise denied. BDO shall upload redacted reflecting

only those redactions by Wednesday, September 28, 2022.

Lastly, as discussed on the record, BDO's motion for a protective order and to quash (Mtn. Seq.

No. 024) must be granted solely to the extent that the Morgan Franklin subpoena must be

quashed.

It is hereby ORDERED that BDO's motion to dismiss (Mtn. Seq. No. 019) Mr. Stiles'

counterclaim for tortious interference with business relationship (third counterclaim) is granted

without prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED that BDO's motion to compel (Mtn. Seq. No. 020) is granted in part; and it is further

ORDERED that Mr. Stiles shall produce to BDO or produce an affidavit indicating that he has

duly produced all documents on or before October 21, 2022, the following documents: all

documents and communications as requested by BDO except for the joint defense agreement by,

between, or among Mr. Stiles, Mr. Jia-Sobota, Mr. Morris, and EverGlade; and it is further

ORDERED that defendant shall, within 30 days from production of the aforesaid documents,

produce [John Jones or a witness with knowledge of the facts] for deposition, at the office of

3 of 5

counsel for plaintiff, on a date and at a time convenient for the parties; and it is further

652352/2020 BDO USA, LLP vs. MORRIS, STEPHEN Motion No. 019 020 021 022 023 024

Page 3 of 5

INDEX NO. 652352/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/23/2022

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 442

ORDERED that Defendants' motion to compel (Mtn. Seq. No. 021) is granted; and it is further

ORDERED that BDO shall produce to Defendants or produce an affidavit indicating that it has duly produced all documents on or before October 21, 2022, the following documents: all

documents and communications as requested by Defendants; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to seal NYSCEF Doc. Nos. 382, 383, 384, 385,

386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, and 417 in this action in its entirety

(Mtn. Seq. Nos. 022, 023) upon service on him (60 Centre Street, Room 141B) of a copy of this

order with notice of entry; and it is further

ORDERED that thereafter, or until further order of the Court, the Clerk of the Court shall deny

access to NYSCEF Doc. Nos. 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 412, 413,

414, 415, 416, and 417 to anyone (other than the staff of the Clerk or the court) except for counsel

of record for any party to this case and any party; and it is further

ORDERED that such service upon the Clerk of the Court shall be made in accordance with the

procedures set forth in the Protocol on Courthouse and County Clerk Procedures for

Electronically Filed Cases (accessible at the "E-Filing" page on the court's website at the

address www.nycourts.gov/supctmanh); and it is further

652352/2020 BDO USA, LLP vs. MORRIS, STEPHEN Motion No. 019 020 021 022 023 024

Page 4 of 5

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 442 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/23/2022

ORDERED that BDO shall upload a redacted version of NYSCEF Doc. Nos. 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, and 417 on or before September 23, 2022, at 5:00 PM; and it is further

ORDERED that BDO's motion for a protective order and to quash (Mtn. Seq. No. 024) is granted solely to the extent that the Defendant's Morgan Franklin subpoena must be quashed.

		20220923155021ABORROK5905158F213D46A6A7 D657E5780FCF 4E							
9/23/2022				, ,					
DATE	_					ANDREW S. BORF	ROK,	J.S.C.	
CHECK ONE:		CASE DISPOSED			х	NON-FINAL DISPOSITION			
		GRANTED		DENIED	Х	GRANTED IN PART		OTHER	
APPLICATION:		SETTLE ORDER				SUBMIT ORDER		-	
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:		INCLUDES TRANSF	ER/RI	EASSIGN		FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT		REFERENCE	

5 of 5