Tekiner v Bremen House Inc.

2022 NY Slip Op 33414(U)

October 1, 2022

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 657193/2020

Judge: Joel M. Cohen

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 720

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/01/2022

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOR	RK	
COUNTY OF NEW YORK: COMMERCIAL DIVISION	ON PART 03M	
)	X	
YASEMIN TEKINER,	INDEX NO.	657193/2020
District		
Plaintiff,	MOTION DATE	07/11/2022
- V -		
BREMEN HOUSE INC., BREMEN HOUSE TEXAS, INC.,	MOTION SEQ. NO.	018
GERMAN NEWS COMPANY, INC., GERMAN NEWS		
TEXAS, INC.,254-258 W. 35TH ST. LLC, BERRIN	DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION	
TEKINER, GONCA TEKINER, BILLUR AKIPEK, ZEYNEP TEKINER.		
TERMEN,		
Defendants.		
)	X	
HON. JOEL M. COHEN:		
The following e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document n	umber (Motion 018) 377	378 380 381
382, 383, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 419		, 5. 5, 550, 661,
were read on this motion to	SEAL	

Plaintiff Yasemin Tekiner ("Plaintiff") moves for an order sealing and/or redacting certain documents (NYSCEF Doc. Nos. 377, 378, 380, 381, 382, 383, 385, 386, 387, 388, and 389) filed in connection with Mot. Seq. 022, which involves the parties' dispute as to whether Plaintiff should be able to take discovery into the mental health and substance abuse and addiction issues of defendants Berrin Tekiner and Gonca Tekiner ("Defendants"). Michele Kahn, on behalf of her client plaintiff Zeynep Tekiner, filed an affirmation in support of this motion (NYSCEF 464). No parties oppose this motion. For the following reasons, Plaintiff's motion is **granted in part**.

Pursuant to § 216.1 (a) of the Uniform Rules for Trial Courts, this Court may seal a filing "upon a written finding of good cause, which shall specify the grounds thereof. In determining

657193/2020 TEKINER, YASEMIN vs. BREMEN HOUSE INC. Motion No. 018

Page 1 of 4

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 720 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/01/2022

whether good cause has been shown, the court shall consider the interests of the public as well as of the parties" (22 NYCRR § 216.1 [a]).

The Appellate Division has emphasized that "there is a broad presumption that the public is entitled to access to judicial proceedings and court records" (*Mosallem v Berenson*, 76 AD3d 345, 348 [1st Dept 2010]). "Since the right [of public access to court proceedings] is of constitutional dimension, any order denying access must be *narrowly tailored to serve compelling objectives*, such as a need for secrecy that outweighs the public's right to access" (*Danco Labs., Ltd. v Chemical Works of Gedeon Richter, Ltd.*, 274 AD2d 1, 6 [1st Dept 2000] [emphasis added]; *see also, e.g. Gryphon Dom. VI, LLC v APP Intern. Fin. Co., B.V.*, 28 AD3d 322, 324 [1st Dept 2006]). "Furthermore, because confidentiality is the exception and not the rule, 'the party seeking to seal court records has the burden to demonstrate compelling circumstances to justify restricting public access" (*Maxim, Inc. v Feifer*, 145 AD3d 516, 517 [1st Dept 2016] [citations omitted]). The fact that the parties have stipulated to sealing documents, or that they have designated the documents during discovery as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential," does not, by itself, require granting of the motion" (*see, e.g., Maxim,* 145 AD3d at 518; *Gryphon,* 28 AD3d at 324).

The Court has reviewed NYSCEF Doc. Nos. 380, 381, 382, 383, 385, 386, 387, 388, and 389, and finds good cause exists to seal these exhibits as they contain sensitive medical information (*see State v Bayrock Group LLC*, 2017 NY Slip Op 30358[U], 4 [Sup Ct, NY County 2017]). Further, Motion Sequence 022 was decided by Order and Decision dated August 17, 2022 (NYSCEF 680), and the Order directed the parties to "meet and confer to narrow the categories of documents in dispute, taking into account the Court's guidance, and that the parties carefully and narrowly tailor their proposed discovery relating medical information to that which

657193/2020 TEKINER, YASEMIN vs. BREMEN HOUSE INC. Motion No. 018

Page 2 of 4

INDEX NO. 657193/2020

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 720 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/01/2022

is necessary and appropriate to prosecute and defend the claims at issue in this litigation." The Order also granted in part Defendant's cross-motion for a protective order relating to Defendants' medical information. Given this determination, sealing of these documents is appropriate.

Plaintiff is directed to work with Defendants to file redacted versions of Plaintiff's Memorandum of Law (NYSCEF 377) and the Affirmation of Stephen P. Younger (NYSCEF 378) with Defendants' medical information appropriately redacted.

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that Mot. Seq. 018 is **granted in part**; it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk shall maintain NYSCEF Doc. Nos. 377, 378, 380, 381, 382, 383, 385, 386, 387, 388, and 389 under seal, so that the documents may only be accessible by the parties, their counsel, and authorized court personnel; it is further

ORDERED that Plaintiff is directed to work with Defendants to file redacted versions of NYSCEF 377 and NYSCEF 378 within fourteen (14) days of the date of this Order; it is further

ORDERED as it related to future submissions, made by any party, that contain subject matter that the Court has authorized to be sealed by this Order, parties may file a joint stipulation, to be So Ordered, which will authorize the filing of such future submissions to be filed in redacted form on NYSCEF, provided that an <u>unredacted</u> copy of any redacted document is contemporaneously filed under seal; and it is further

ORDERED that nothing in this Order shall be construed as authorizing the sealing or redaction of any documents or evidence to be offered at trial.

This constitutes the Decision and Order of the Court.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 720

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/01/2022

10/1/2022	2022100 <u>1101616</u> UDF6A34EFCC(94BB69330E4DCB6A301D
DATE	JOEL M. COHEN, J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	CASE DISPOSED X NON-FINAL DISPOSITION
	GRANTED DENIED X GRANTED IN PART OTHER
APPLICATION:	SETTLE ORDER SUBMIT ORDER
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:	INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT REFERENC

657193/2020 TEKINER, YASEMIN vs. BREMEN HOUSE INC. Motion No. 018