Lind	lo v	1199	Hous.	Corr).

2023 NY Slip Op 32985(U)

August 28, 2023

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 151297/2021

Judge: Frank P. Nervo

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

INDEX NO. 151297/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 99

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/29/2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: HON. FRANK P. NERVO	PART	04		
Ju	stice			
	X INDEX NO.	151297/2021		
RACHARD LINDO,	MOTION DATE	03/29/2023		
Plaintiff,	MOTION SEQ. NO.	001		
- V -				
1199 HOUSING CORPORATION, METRO MANAGEMEN & DEVELOPMENT, INC.,SKYLINE RESTORATION INC.,SPRING SCAFFOLDING LLC,THE CITY OF NEW YORK, MARION SCOTT REAL ESTATE, INC.,ONETEAN RESTORATION, INC.,ALL CITY RESTORATION INC.,	DECISION + C	DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION		
Defendant.				
	X			
The following e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF documents, 83, 84, 85, 86, 95	nent number (Motion 001) 7	8, 79, 80, 81, 82,		
were read on this motion to/for	AMEND CAPTION/PLEAD	NGS		
Plaintiff seeks an order pursuant to CP	LR § 3025 granting le	ave to amend		
the complaint to add certain parties as defend	lants and remove oth	er parties as		
defendants. The motion is opposed.				

CPLR § 3025(b) governs permissive leave to amend a pleading upon terms which are just. Leave is to be freely given absent a showing that amendment would cause surprise or prejudice (*Fahey v. County of Ontario*, 44 NY2d 934 [1978]; 170 W. Vil. Assoc. v. G & E Realty, Inc., 56 AD3d 372 [1st Dept 2008]; Lanpont v. Savvas Cab Corp., Inc., 244 AD2d 208 [1st Dept 1997]). However, "[i]n order to conserve judicial resources, an examination of the underlying

151297/2021 LINDO, RACHARD vs. 1199 HOUSING CORPORATION ET AL Motion No. 001

Page 1 of 4

INDEX NO. 151297/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/29/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 99

merits of the proposed causes of action is warranted. Where a court concludes that an application to amend a pleading clearly lacks merit, leave is properly denied" (*Eight Ave. Garage Corp. v. HKL Realty Corp*, 60 AD3d 404 [1st Dept

Here, the motion is unopposed. All City Restoration and Oneteam
Restoration defendants are necessary to afford complete relief, and their
addition to this labor law matter is neither surprising nor prejudicial given their
alleged involvement at the accident site. Removal of Metro Management as a
defendant is likewise proper. Plaintiff's proposed pleading does not clearly lack
merit.

Accordingly,

2009] [internal citation omitted]).

ORDERED that the motion is granted without opposition; and it is further

ORDERED that the amended summons and complaint is deemed served upon answering defendants *nunc pro tunc*; and it is further

ORDERED that the caption in this matter, under Index No. 151297/2021,

151297/2021 LINDO, RACHARD vs. 1199 HOUSING CORPORATION ET AL Motion No. 001

Page 2 of 4

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 99 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/29/2023

shall be amended to read:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK -----X RACHARD LINDO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

1199 HOUSING CORPORATION, SKYLINE RESTORATION, INC., SPRING SCAFFOLDING LLC, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, MARION SCOTT REAL ESTATE, INC., ONETEAM RESTORATION INC. and ALL CITY RESTORATION INC.,

Defendants. -----X ; and it is further

ORDERED that within ten days of its entry by the Clerk, Plaintiff shall serve a copy of this order with notice of entry on the County Clerk and the Clerk of the Trial Support Office, and it is further

ORDERED that upon service on the County Clerk and Clerk of the Trial Support Office, the County Clerk and the Clerk of the Trial Support Office shall amend their records to reflect the above caption change; and it is further

151297/2021 LINDO, RACHARD vs. 1199 HOUSING CORPORATION ET AL Motion No. 001

Page 3 of 4

INDEX NO. 151297/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 99 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/29/2023

ORDERED that plaintiff shall serve the amended summons and complaint, annexed as exhibit A (NYSCEF Doc. No. 80), upon non-appearing/answering defendants within 20 days of this decision and order; and it is further

ORDERED that all parties shall familiarize themselves with the Part Rules, available on the Court's website; and it is further

ORDERED that following service of the amended summons and complaint, and any answers thereto, all parties shall confer and file, as appropriate, a joint-letter/proposed discovery order via NYSCEF with courtesy copy to chambers within 60 days of this order. Failure to timely file a proposed discovery order may constitute waiver of discovery

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER OF THE COURT

8/28/2023	_						
DATE						HON FRANK P.	NERVO
CHECK ONE:		CASE DISPOSED			Х	NON-FINAL DISPOSITION	J.S.C.
	х	GRANTED		DENIED		GRANTED IN PART	OTHER
APPLICATION:		SETTLE ORDER				SUBMIT ORDER	
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:		INCLUDES TRANSF	ER/RE	ASSIGN		FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT	REFERENCE

151297/2021 LINDO, RACHARD vs. 1199 HOUSING CORPORATION ET AL Motion No. 001

Page 4 of 4