(Carro	П	V	V	ew	S	ch
N			v			. 7	V. III.

2023 NY Slip Op 33266(U)

September 21, 2023

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 150134/2013

Judge: Suzanne J. Adams

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/22/2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT:	HON. SUZANNE J. ADAMS	PART	39TF		
	Justi				
		X INDEX NO.	150134/2013		
NANCY CARR	ROLL, JAMES CARROLL,		09/18/2023,		
	Plaintiff,	MOTION DATE	09/18/2023		
	- v -	MOTION SEQ. NO.	002 003		
THE NEW SCI CORPORATIO	HOOL, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION DN,	DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION			
	Defendant.				
		X			
THE NEW SCH CORPORATIO	HOOL, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION ON	Third-Index No. 59			
	Plaintiff,				
	-against-				
FRED GELLER	R ELETRICAL, INC				
	Defendant.				
		X			
	-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF documer 37, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 7				
were read on thi	is motion to/for	DISMISSAL	·		
The following e- 91, 92, 93	-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF documer	nt number (Motion 003) 80), 81, 82, 89, 90,		
were read on thi	is motion to/for	JUDGMENT - SUMMAR	Υ		
Upon th	he foregoing documents, and oral argumen	nt having been heard by	the court on		
September 18,	2023,	•			
This is	an action for personal injuries sustained by	y the plaintiff, NANCY	CARROLL, on		
December 20, 2	2012, while working at a premises under c	construction at The New	School, located		
at 65 Fifth Ave	enue, NY, New York. Plaintiff, NANCY C	CARROLL, testified at l	ner deposition		
hat she slipped	d on dirt and debris, on a staircase, and rea	ched for a handrail to st	op her fall, but		
150134/2013 CAI	RROLL, NANCY vs. NEW SCHOOL	•	Page 1 of 4		

Motion No. 002 003

INDEX NO. 150134/2013

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 98 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/22/2023

none was there. Her husband, plaintiff JAMES CARROLL, brings a derivative claim for loss of consortium.

It is well-settled that "the proponent of a summary judgment motion must make a *prima* facie showing of entitlement to judgment as a matter of law, tendering sufficient evidence to demonstrate the absence of any material issues of fact." Alvarez v. Prospect Hospital, 68 N.Y.2d 320, 324 (1986) (citing Winegrad v. New York University Medical Center, 64 N.Y.2d 851 (1985)). The party opposing a motion for summary judgment is entitled to all reasonable inferences most favorable to it. Assaf v. Ropog Cab Corp., 153 A.D.2d 520, 521 (1st Dep't 1989). Summary judgment will only be granted if there are no genuine, triable issues of fact. Assaf, 153 A.D.2d at 522.

THE NEW SCHOOL and TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION's motion seeking summary judgment and dismissing the plaintiffs' complaint and seeking summary judgment as to defendants/third party plaintiffs THE NEW SCHOOL and TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION against third-party defendant FRED GELLER ELECTRICAL, INC. for contractual indemnification, is denied with prejudice. Third-party defendant, FRED GELLER ELECTRICAL, INC.'s, motion seeking summary judgment and dismissing the third-party complaint, is denied with prejudice. These motions are all denied because there are several triable issues of fact regarding the causation of plaintiff NANCY CARROLL's injuries including the origin of the alleged dirt and debris on a staircase, the lack of a handrail, and the poor lighting conditions. These issues of fact preclude summary judgment.

Plaintiffs' cross motion seeking summary judgment pursuant to Labor Law §240(1) is granted. It is undisputed that no safety devices were provided to prevent plaintiff NANCY CARROLL's fall from the elevated surface. At the time of the accident, plaintiff NANCY

150134/2013 CARROLL, NANCY vs. NEW SCHOOL Motion No. 002 003

Page 2 of 4

INDEX NO. 150134/2013

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/22/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 98

CARROLL was working on an elevated surface to perform her firestopping duties, when she slipped on dirt and debris, causing her to fall five feet down the stairs. Plaintiff NANCY CARROLL's irrefutable testimony that she went to grab for a handrail to prevent her fall, but there was nothing there, clearly demonstrates the lack of a handrail, guardrail or other safety device was a proximate cause of her accident. She further testified that the insufficient lighting contributed to the happening of her accident. The non-party witness, Jacqueline Hurd, corroborated this testimony.

Plaintiffs' cross motion seeking summary judgment pursuant to Labor Law §241(6) is granted as to Industrial Code §23-1.7 protection from general hazards (d) slipping hazards, (e)(1) tripping and other hazards, (2) working areas, Industrial Code §23-1.30 illumination, and Industrial Code §23-2.7(e) protective railings as there are no triable issues of fact.

Plaintiffs' cross motion seeking summary judgment pursuant to Labor Law §200 is granted. Labor Law §200 is a codification of the common-law duty imposed upon an owner or general contractor to provide construction site workers with a safe place to work. Plaintiff NANCY CARROLL's injuries allegedly arise not from the manner by which the work was performed, but from a dangerous condition at the work site, thus this portion of plaintiffs' cross motion is granted, as there are no triable issues of fact.

ORDERED that the defendants, THE NEW SCHOOL and TISHMAN

CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION's motion seeking an Order: (a) pursuant to CPLR Rule

3212 seeking summary judgment and dismissing the plaintiffs' complaint, with prejudice; and

(b) granting summary judgment to defendants/third party plaintiffs THE NEW SCHOOL and

TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION against third-party defendant FRED GELLER

ELECTRICAL, INC. for contractual indemnification; is denied in the entirety; and it is further

150134/2013 CARROLL, NANCY vs. NEW SCHOOL Motion No. 002 003

Page 3 of 4

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 98

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/22/2023

ORDERED that the third-party defendant, FRED GELLER ELECTRICAL, INC.'s, motion seeking an Order pursuant to CPLR Rule 3212 summary judgment and dismissing the third-party complaint, is denied in the entirety; and it is further

ORDERED that plaintiffs' cross motion seeking an Order (a) pursuant to CPLR Rule 3212 granting summary judgment against the defendants THE NEW SCHOOL and TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION is granted.

This constitute:	the decision and order of the court.
9/21/2023	
DATE	SUZANNE J. ADAMS, J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	CASE DISPOSED X NON-FINAL DISPOSITION
	GRANTED DENIED X GRANTED IN PART OTHER
APPLICATION:	SETTLE ORDER SUBMIT ORDER
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:	INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT REFERENCE