DiPietro	w Wosso	retain En	tore I I	C
DIFICUO	v vvasst	rstein En	iters. L.L	1.

2023 NY Slip Op 33927(U)

November 1, 2023

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 160977/2019

Judge: Leslie A. Stroth

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/02/2023

Page 1 of 4

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

HON. LESLIE A. STROTH		PARI	12
	Justice		
	X	INDEX NO.	160977/2019
ROBERT DIPIETRO, JESSICA DIPIETRO,		MOTION DATE	N/A
Plaintiff,	-	MOTION SEQ. NO.	002
· - V -			
WASSERSTEIN ENTERPRISES L.L.C., METROPOL ARTS & ANTIQUES PAVILION LTD., PALM BEACH JEWELRY & ANTIQUE SHOW, INC.,	ITAN	DECISION + C	
Defendant.			
	X		
WASSERSTEIN ENTERPRISES L.L.C., METROPOLITAN ARTS & ANTIQUES PAVILION LTD., PALM BEACH JEWELRY & ANTIQUE SHOW, INC.			Party 95017/2023
Plaintiff,			
-against-		•	
SELECT EXPOSITION SERVICES	,	•	
Defendant.		-	·
	X		
The following e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF do 66, 67, 68, 69	ocument nu	mber (Motion 002) 6	1, 62, 63, 64, 65,
were read on this motion to/for	CONS	OLIDATE/JOIN FOR	TRIAL
In this personal injury action, defendants m	ove pursu	ant to CPLR Section	n 602 to consolidate th
action with the action Robert DiPietro and Jessica	a DiPietro	v. New York City	Jewelry & Watch Sho
Inc., pending in Supreme Court, New York County	y, under in	ndex number 151802	2/2022 for joint trial ar
discovery. Plaintiffs do not oppose.			
Pursuant to CPLR 602:			
When actions involving a common question of law upon motion, may order a joint trial of any or al consolidated, and may make such other orders conce unnecessary costs or delay.	ll the matte	rs in issue, may order	the actions

160977/2019 DIPIETRO, ROBERT vs. WASSERSTEIN ENTERPRISES L.L.C. Motion No. $\,$ 002

INDEX NO. 160977/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/02/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 75

Further, "...it is well settled that there is a preference for consolidation in the interest of judicial economy where there are common questions of law and fact, unless the party opposing the motion demonstrates that consolidation will prejudice a substantial right." See Geneva Temps, Inc. v New World Communities,

Here, it is undisputed that both actions arise from the same alleged trip and fall over a piece of Masonite that occurred at 125 W 18th Street, New York, New York on October 23, 2019. The actions involve similar witnesses and the defendants in each action are sued in their alleged capacities as owner and/or manager of the subject property or due to their control of same. Neither action has proceeded to trial, and the trials will involve significantly similar evidence.

Principles of judicial economy strongly support consolidation in this matter. Moreover, plaintiffs do not oppose or otherwise argue that consolidation should not be effectuated.

Accordingly, it is

Inc., 24 AD3d 332, 334 (1st Dept 2005).

ORDERED that the motion is granted, without opposition, and the above-captioned action is consolidated in this Court with *DiPietro and DiPietro v New York Jewelry and Watch Show, Inc.*, Index No. 151802/2022, pending in this Court; and it is further

ORDERED that the consolidation shall take place under Index No. 160977/2019 and the consolidated action shall bear the following caption:

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

INDEX NO. 160977/2019

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 75

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/02/2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ROBERT DIPIETRO and JESSICA DIPIETRO,

Index No.: 160977/2019

Plaintiffs,

- against -

WASSERSTEIN ENTERPRISES, L.L.C., METROPOLITAN ARTS & ANTIQUES PAVILION LTD., PALM BEACH JEWELRY & ANTIQUE SHOW, INC., and NEW YORK CITY JEWELRY & WATCH SHOW, INC,

Defendants.

WASSERSTEIN ENTERPRISES, L.L.C., METROPOLITAN ARTS & ANTIQUES PAVILION LTD., and PALM BEACH JEWELRY & ANTIQUE SHOW, INC,

Third-Party Plaintiffs,

-against-

SELECT EXPOSITION SERVICES,

Third-Party Defendant.

And it is further

ORDERED that the pleadings in the actions hereby consolidated shall stand as the pleadings in the consolidated action; and it is further

ORDERED that, within 30 days from entry of this order, movant shall serve a copy of this order with notice of entry on the Clerk of the Court, who shall consolidate the documents in the actions hereby consolidated and shall mark his records to reflect the consolidation; and it is further

ORDERED that counsel for the movant shall contact the staff of the Clerk of the Court to arrange for the effectuation of the consolidation hereby directed; and it is further

ORDERED that service of this order upon the Clerk of the Court shall be made in hard-copy format if this action is a hard-copy matter or, if it is an e-filed case, shall be made in accordance with the

160977/2019 DIPIETRO, ROBERT vs. WASSERSTEIN ENTERPRISES L.L.C. Motion No. 002

Page 3 of 4

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 75

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/02/2023

procedures set forth in the *Protocol on Courthouse and County Clerk Procedures for Electronically Filed Cases* (accessible at the "E-Filing" page on the court's website); and it is further

ORDERED that, as applicable and insofar as is practical, the Clerk of this Court shall file the documents being consolidated in the consolidated case file under the index number of the consolidated action in the New York State Courts Electronic Filing System or make appropriate notations of such documents in the e-filing records of the court so as to ensure access to the documents in the consolidated action; and it is further

ORDERED that, within 30 days from entry of this order, movant shall serve a copy of this order with notice of entry on the Clerk of the General Clerk's Office, who is hereby directed to reflect the consolidation by appropriately marking the court's records; and it is further

ORDERED that such service upon the Clerk of the General Clerk's Office shall be made in hard-copy format if this action is a hard-copy matter or, if it is an e-filed case, shall be made in accordance with the procedures set forth in the aforesaid *Protocol*; and it is further

ORDERED that counsel are directed to appear for a discovery conference on the next available date in Part 12, Room 328 at 80 Centre Street, New York, NY.

This constitutes the decision and order of the court.

		The state of the s	19/4
11/1/2023	•		<u> </u>
DATE		LESLIE A STROT	H, J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	CASE DISPOSED	X NON-FINAL DISPOSITION	
	X GRANTED DENIED	GRANTED IN PART	OTHER
APPLICATION:	SETTLE ORDER	SUBMIT ORDER	r1
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:	INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN	FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT	REFERENCE

Page 4 of 4