An unpublished opinion of the North Carolina Court of Appeals does not constitute controlling legal authority. Citation is disfavored, but may be permitted in accordance with the provisions of Rule 30(e)(3) of the North Carolina Rules of Appellate Procedure.

## NO. COA01-213

## NORTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

## Filed: 5 March 2002

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

v. Wayne County Nos. 99 CRS 050028 LEROY JUNIOR WILLIAMS 99 CRS 008262 99 CRS 008263

Appeal by defendant from judgments entered 10 May 2000 by Judge Benjamin G. Alford in Wayne County Superior Court. Heard in the Court of Appeals 4 February 2002.

Attorney General Roy Cooper, by Special Deputy Attorney General Isaac T. Avery, III, and Assistant Attorney General Patricia A. Duffy, for the State.

MacQueen & Turnage, L.L.P., by Kevin F. MacQueen, for defendant appellant.

TIMMONS-GOODSON, Judge.

On 10 May 2000, a jury found Leroy Junior Williams ("defendant") guilty of felonious operation of a motor vehicle to elude arrest, driving while his license was revoked, reckless driving, and driving while impaired. The trial court subsequently sentenced defendant to active imprisonment for eight to ten months for felonious operation of a motor vehicle, twenty-four months for driving while impaired, and 120 days for driving while his license was revoked and for reckless driving. The trial court ordered the 120-day sentence to run at the expiration of the other sentences. From his conviction and resulting sentence, defendant now appeals.

Defendant's counsel has filed a brief in which he states that "after repeated examinations of the record and review of relevant law, [he] is unable to identify an issue with sufficient merit to support a meaningful argument for relief on appeal." Accordingly, defendant's counsel requests this Court to conduct a full examination of the record for possible prejudicial error or any justiciable issue overlooked by counsel.

In accordance with Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493, reh'g denied, 388 U.S. 924, 18 L. Ed. 2d 1377 (1967), and State v. Kinch, 314 N.C. 99, 331 S.E.2d 665 (1985), counsel submitted a brief to assist this Court with its review. He mailed a copy of the brief to defendant, together with copies of the transcript and record, and a letter advising defendant of his right to file his own written arguments. Defendant has not filed any written arguments.

We find full compliance with the requirements of *Anders* and *Kinch*. After carefully reviewing the record, we are unable to find possible prejudicial error or a justiciable issue.

No error.

Chief Judge EAGLES and Judge McCULLOUGH concur. Report per Rule 30(e).