An unpublished opinion of the North Carolina Court of Appeals does not constitute controlling legal authority. Citation is disfavored, but may be permitted in accordance with the provisions of Rule $30\,(e)\,(3)$ of the North Carolina Rules of Appellate Procedure.

NO. COA06-369

NORTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

Filed: 3 October 2006

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

V.	Durham County
	Nos. 03 CRS 054184
JERRY WAYNE COLCLOUGH	03 CRS 054186
	03 CRS 054190
	03 CRS 054193
	03 CRS 054197
	03 CRS 054199
	03 CRS 054202
	03 CRS 054204
	03 CRS 054667

Appeal by defendant from judgments entered 12 September 2005 by Judge Carl R. Fox in Durham County Superior Court. Heard in the Court of Appeals 2 October 2006.

Attorney General Roy Cooper, by Assistant Attorney General Richard A. Graham, for the State.

Michael J. Reece, for defendant-appellant.

TYSON, Judge.

Jerry Wayne Colclough ("defendant") appeals judgments entered after pleading guilty. We affirm.

I. Background

On 12 September 2005, defendant pled guilty to six counts of conspiracy to sell a Schedule II controlled substance, six counts of conspiracy to possess with the intent to sell or deliver a Schedule II controlled substance, two counts of conspiracy to

traffic in cocaine by possession, sale, and transportation, one count of trafficking in cocaine by possession, and possession of cocaine with intent to sell or deliver.

The trial court consolidated the offenses into three judgments and imposed three active terms of imprisonment for a minimum of thirty-five months and a maximum of forty-two months to run consecutively. Defendant appeals.

II. Anders v. California

Defense counsel has filed a brief pursuant to Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493 (1967), and State v. Kinch, 314 N.C. 99, 331 S.E.2d 665 (1985). Counsel has stated that "after repeated and close examination of the Record, and after extensive review of the relevant law, [he] is unable to identify an issue with sufficient merit to support a meaningful argument for relief on appeal." Counsel requests this Court to review the record for possible error he may have overlooked.

Counsel has attached to the brief a letter he wrote to defendant in compliance with *Anders* and *Kinch* advising defendant of his inability to identify possible errors to assign on appeal and of defendant's right to file his own arguments directly with the Court. Defendant has not filed his own arguments and a reasonable time for him do so has passed.

III. Conclusion

We have carefully reviewed the record and are unable to find any basis to support a meaningful argument of error on appeal. The judgments appealed from are affirmed.

Affirmed.

Judges BRYANT and LEVINSON concur.

Report per Rule 30(e).