

An unpublished opinion of the North Carolina Court of Appeals does not constitute controlling legal authority. Citation is disfavored, but may be permitted in accordance with the provisions of Rule 30(e)(3) of the North Carolina Rules of Appellate Procedure.

NO. COA14-1173
NORTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

Filed: 17 March 2015

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

v.

Catawba County
Nos. 09 CRS 54530-32

SANDRA MESHELL BRICE

Appeal by Defendant from judgment entered 30 April 2014 by Judge Yvonne Mims Evans in Superior Court, Catawba County. Heard in the Court of Appeals 2 March 2015.

Attorney General Roy Cooper, by Assistant Attorney General Kathleen N. Bolton, for the State.

Appellate Defender Staples S. Hughes, by Assistant Appellate Defender Jason Christopher Yoder, for Defendant-Appellant.

McGEE, Chief Judge.

Sandra Meshell Brice ("Defendant") was convicted in district court on two counts of assault on a government official or employee, and on one count each of being intoxicated and disruptive in public, assault on emergency personnel, and resisting a public officer on 25 July 2013. Defendant gave notice of appeal to superior court for a trial *de novo*.

Defendant pled guilty pursuant to a plea agreement to assault on a government official or employee, assault on emergency personnel, and resisting a public officer on 30 April 2014. The trial court sentenced Defendant to seventy-five days in prison. Defendant appeals.

Counsel appointed to represent Defendant has been unable to identify any issue with sufficient merit to support a meaningful argument for relief on appeal and asks that this Court conduct its own review of the record for possible prejudicial error. Counsel also has shown to the satisfaction of this Court that he has complied with the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493 (1967), and *State v. Kinch*, 314 N.C. 99, 331 S.E.2d 665 (1985), by advising Defendant of her right to file written arguments with this Court and providing her with the documents necessary for her to do so.

Defendant has not filed any written arguments on her own behalf with this Court and a reasonable time in which she could have done so has passed. In accordance with *Anders*, we have fully examined the record to determine whether any issues of arguable merit appear therefrom. We have been unable to find any error in the record.

Affirmed.

Judges STEPHENS and HUNTER, JR. concur.

Report per Rule 30(e).