An unpublished opinion of the North Carolina Court of Appeals does not constitute controlling legal authority. Citation is disfavored, but may be permitted in accordance with the provisions of Rule 30(e)(3) of the North Carolina Rules of Appellate Procedure.

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF NORTH CAROLINA

No. COA16-382

Filed: 4 October 2016

Alleghany County, No. 10 JA 18

IN THE MATTER OF: E.B.

Appeal by Respondent-Mother from order entered 11 January 2016 by Judge

Jeannie Houston and order entered 28 January 2016 by Judge Robert Crumpton in

Alleghany County District Court. Heard in the Court of Appeals 19 September 2016.

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP, by Phillip A. Harris, Jr. and Susan

Holdsclaw Boyles, for Appellee Guardian ad Litem.

James N. Freeman, Jr. for Petitioner-Appellee Alleghany County Department

of Social Services.

Richard Croutharmel for Respondent-Appellant-Mother.

DILLON, Judge.

Respondent-Mother ("Mother") appeals from orders ceasing reunification

efforts and terminating her parental rights to her minor child, E.B. ("Ed"). Because

the motion to terminate parental rights was verified and properly invoked the trial

court's jurisdiction, we affirm.

<sup>1</sup> The pseudonym "Ed" is used throughout for ease of reading and to protect the juvenile's privacy.

## IN RE: E.B.

## Opinion of the Court

In May 2014, the Alleghany County Department of Social Services ("DSS") obtained non-secure custody of Ed and filed a petition alleging he was neglected. The trial court entered an order adjudicating Ed neglected. The trial court also entered a disposition order continuing custody of Ed with DSS and directing Ed's parents to comply with their Family Services Case Plan. In June 2015, the trial court entered an order ceasing reunification efforts between Ed and his father, while also establishing reunification as the permanent plan for Ed and Mother.

Nevertheless, Ed's Guardian ad Litem (the "GAL") moved to terminate Mother and father's parental rights, alleging neglect, failure to correct the conditions that led to Ed's removal from their home, failure to pay a reasonable portion of the cost of care for Ed, dependency, and willful abandonment. *See* N.C. Gen. Stat. § 7B-1111(a)(1)-(3), (6)-(7) (2015). DSS filed a response joining the GAL's motion.

After an interim permanency planning hearing, the trial court entered an order ceasing reunification efforts between Mother and Ed, and directed DSS to pursue termination of parental rights if the GAL did not proceed on the termination motion. After another hearing on the matter, the trial court entered an order terminating both parents' parental rights to Ed.<sup>2</sup> Mother filed timely notice of appeal from both the order ceasing reunification efforts and the order terminating her parental rights.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ed's father is not a party to this appeal.

## IN RE: E.B.

## Opinion of the Court

Mother's sole argument on appeal is that the trial court lacked jurisdiction over the termination proceedings as the GAL did not verify the termination motion. See In re C.M.H., 187 N.C. App. 807, 809, 653 S.E.2d 929, 930 (2007). In response, the GAL has filed a motion to amend the record on appeal to include a copy of the motion which contains the necessary verification. The GAL has included an affidavit from Deputy Clerk of Court Veronica Williams with the motion to amend. Ms. Williams avers that a verification page was attached to the GAL's termination motion, but that when Mother's appellate counsel requested the court file to prepare the record, the verification was inadvertently retained in the Clerk's office and was not sent as a proper part of the court file. Ms. Williams offers no explanation for how a single page could be mistakenly retained by her office.

Mother objects to the GAL's attempt to amend the record to include a copy of the verified termination motion, contending that it is unclear if the trial court relied upon the verified motion. However, in its order terminating Mother's parental rights, the trial court states that it made its findings of fact "[b]ased upon the verified Motion heretofore filed in this juvenile proceeding[.]" We hold that this statement by the trial court, the affidavit from the deputy clerk, and the properly verified and file-stamped motion attached to the clerk's affidavit, suffice to show that the GAL filed a verified termination motion and that the trial court acted upon that motion.

IN RE: E.B.

Opinion of the Court

Accordingly, we allow the GAL's motion to amend the record on appeal and reject

Mother's argument.

Mother has not challenged the trial court's order terminating her parental

rights or the 11 January 2016 order ceasing reunification efforts on any other

grounds, and they are hereby affirmed.

AFFIRMED.

Judges McCULLOUGH and ENOCHS concur.

Report per Rule 30(e).

- 4 -