Filed 3/13/19 by Clerk of Supreme Court IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

2019 ND 61

In the Interest of C.H., a Child

State of North Dakota,

v.

C.H., Child; A.H., Mother; and Jennifer Restemayer, Guardian ad Litem,

and

M.H., Father,

No. 20190018

In the Interest of J.H., a Child

State of North Dakota,

v.

J.H., Child; A.H., Mother; and Jennifer Restemayer, Guardian ad Litem, Respondents

and

M.H., Father,

Petitioner and Appellee

Respondent and Appellant

No. 20190019

Petitioner and Appellee

Respondents

Respondent and Appellant

In the Interest of K.H., a Child

State of North Dakota,

v.

K.H., Child; A.H., Mother; and Jennifer Restemayer, Guardian ad Litem,

and

M.H., Father,

In the Interest of S.H., a Child

State of North Dakota,

v.

S.H., Child; A.H., Mother; and Jennifer Restemayer, Guardian ad Litem,

and

M.H., Father,

Appeal from the Juvenile Court of Cass County, East Central Judicial District, the Honorable Scott A. Griffeth, Judicial Referee.

No. 20190021

No. 20190020

AFFIRMED.

Per Curiam.

Petitioner and Appellee

Respondent and Appellant

entioner und rippenee

Respondents

Respondent and Appellant

Petitioner and Appellee

Respondents

Constance L. Cleveland, Assistant State's Attorney, Fargo, ND, for petitioner and appellee; submitted on brief.

Daniel E. Gast, Fargo, ND, for respondent and appellant M.H., Father; submitted on brief.

Interest of C.H., J.H., K.H., and S.H. Nos. 20190018-20190021

Per Curiam.

[¶1] M.H. appeals from a juvenile court judgment terminating his parental rights to his four children. On appeal, M.H. argues the juvenile court erred by finding the children to be deprived and that the causes of the deprivation were likely to continue, causing harm to the children. The juvenile court terminated parental rights based on several findings, including finding the children were subjected to aggravated circumstances under N.D.C.C. § 27-20-02(3)(b) and (h), due to M.H.'s failure to address substance abuse issues and the children's exposure to methamphetamine. We conclude the juvenile court's finding of aggravated circumstances is supported by clear and convincing evidence, is not clearly erroneous, and supports the termination of M.H.'s parental rights. *See Interest of D.M.W.*, 2019 ND 8, ¶ 1, 921 N.W.2d 426 (exposure to aggravated circumstances is an adequate ground for termination of parental rights). We summarily affirm under N.D.R.App.P. 35.1(a)(2) and (7).

 [¶2] Gerald W. VandeWalle, C.J. Jon J. Jensen
Lisa Fair McEvers
Daniel J. Crothers
Jerod E. Tufte