

NORMAN V. WHITESIDE

Plaintiff

v.

DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION
AND CORRECTION

Defendant

Case No. 2005-07852

Judge Joseph T. Clark

Magistrate Steven A. Larson

JUDGMENT ENTRY

{¶ 1} On June 13, 2006, defendant filed a motion for summary judgment. The magistrate issued a decision recommending that defendant's motion be granted, in part, and denied, in part.

{¶ 2} Upon review, the court determines that there is no error of law or other defect on the face of the magistrate's decision. Therefore, the court adopts the magistrate's decision and recommendation as its own. Defendant's motion for summary judgment is GRANTED, in part, as it pertains to plaintiff's cause of action that accrued in 1989, and DENIED, in part, as it pertains to plaintiff's claims involving the allegedly toxic cleaning product.

JOSEPH T. CLARK

Judge

Entry cc:

Richard F. Swope
6504 E. Main St.
Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068

Attorney for Plaintiff

[Cite as *Whiteside v. Ohio Dept. of Rehab. & Corr.*, 2006-Ohio-4739.]

Jana M. Brown

Attorneys for Defendant

Velda K. Hofacker Carr

Assistant Attorneys General

150 East Gay Street, 23rd Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215-3130

MR/cmd

Filed August 21, 2006

To S.C. reporter September 12, 2006