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Defendant
\{ๆ1\} On July 19, 2007, the magistrate issued a decision recommending that defendant's motion for summary judgment be granted and that judgment be rendered in favor of defendant.
\{ 12$\}$ Civ.R. 53(D)(3)(b)(i) states in part: "A party may file written objections to a magistrate's decision within fourteen days of the filing of the decision, whether or not the court has adopted the decision during that fourteen-day period as permitted by Civ.R. 53(D)(4)(e)(i)." No objections were filed.
$\{\llbracket 3\}$ The court determines that there is no error of law or other defect evident on the face of the magistrate's decision. Therefore, the court adopts the magistrate's decision and recommendation as its own. Defendant's motion for summary judgment is hereby GRANTED, and judgment is rendered in favor of defendant. Court costs are assessed against plaintiff. The clerk shall serve upon all parties notice of this judgment and its date of entry upon the journal.
[Cite as Hairston v. Ohio Dept. of Rehab. \& Corr., 2007-Ohio-4150.]
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