

# Court of Claims of Ohio

The Ohio Judicial Center  
65 South Front Street, Third Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215  
614.387.9800 or 1.800.824.8263  
[www.cco.state.oh.us](http://www.cco.state.oh.us)

---

AARON HAWKINS

Case No. 2007-03251-AD

Plaintiff

Clerk Miles C. Durfey

v.

MEMORANDUM DECISION

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF  
TRANSPORTATION

Defendant

---

## FINDINGS OF FACT

{¶1} 1) On March 5, 2007, at approximately 6:00 p.m., plaintiff, Aaron Hawkins, was traveling on US Route 42 in Medina County, when his automobile struck a pothole causing tire and rim damage to the vehicle.

{¶2} 2) Plaintiff filed this complaint seeking to recover \$420.00, his total cost of automotive repair, which plaintiff contends he incurred as a result of negligence on the part of defendant, Department of Transportation (“DOT”), in maintaining the roadway. The \$25.00 filing fee was paid.

{¶3} 3) Defendant denied liability based on the fact that it professed to have no knowledge of the damage-causing pothole prior to plaintiff’s incident. Defendant denied receiving any prior complaints about the pothole which DOT located at milepost 11.33 on US 42 in Medina County. Defendant explained that, “it is more likely than not that the pothole existed in that location for only a relatively short amount of time before the time of the incident.”

{¶4} 4) Plaintiff did not submit any evidence to establish the length of time that the pothole existed prior to the March 5, 2007, property damage event.

{¶5} 5) Furthermore, defendant explained that DOT employees conduct roadway inspections on a routine basis and had any of these employees detected a

Case No. 2007-03251-AD	- 2 -	MEMORANDUM DECISION
------------------------	-------	---------------------

roadway defect that defect would have promptly been repaired. Defendant contended that plaintiff did not produce sufficient evidence to prove that DOT caused a breach of any duty of care owed to the traveling public in respect to roadway maintenance.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

{¶16} 1) Defendant has the duty to maintain its highways in a reasonably safe condition for the motoring public. *Knickel v. Ohio Department of Transportation* (1976), 49 Ohio App. 2d 335. However, defendant is not an insurer of the safety of its highways. See *Kniskern v. Township of Somerford* (1996), 112 Ohio App. 3d 189; *Rhodus v. Ohio Dept. of Transp.* (1990), 67 Ohio App. 3d 723.

{¶17} 2) In order to prove a breach of the duty to maintain the highways, plaintiff must prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that defendant had actual or constructive notice of the precise condition or defect alleged to have caused the accident. *McClellan v. ODOT* (1986), 34 Ohio App. 3d 247. Defendant is only liable for roadway conditions of which it has notice but fails to reasonably correct. *Bussard v. Dept. of Transp.* (1986), 31 Ohio Misc. 2d 1.

{¶18} 3) There is no evidence defendant had actual notice of the damage-causing pothole.

{¶19} 4) The trier of fact is precluded from making an inference of defendant's constructive notice, unless evidence is presented in respect to the time the defective condition (pothole) developed. *Spires v. Ohio Highway Department* (1988), 61 Ohio Misc. 2d 262. There is no evidence defendant had constructive notice of the damage-causing pothole.

{¶10} 5) Plaintiff has not produced any evidence to infer that defendant, in a general sense, maintains its highways negligently or that defendant's acts caused the defective condition. *Herlihy v. Ohio Department of Transportation* (1999), 99-07011-AD. Therefore, defendant is not liable for any damage plaintiff may have suffered.

{¶11} 6) Plaintiff has not shown, by a preponderance of the evidence, that

Case No. 2007-03251-AD	- 3 -	MEMORANDUM DECISION
------------------------	-------	---------------------

defendant failed to discharge a duty owed to plaintiff, or that plaintiff's injury was proximately caused by defendant's negligence. Plaintiff has failed to show that the damage-causing pothole was connected to any conduct under the control of defendant or that there was any negligence on the part of defendant or its agents. *Taylor v. Transportation Dept.* (1997), 97-10898-AD; *Weininger v. Department of Transportation* (1999), 99-10909-AD; *Witherell v. Ohio Dept. of Transportation* (2000), 2000-04758-AD.

# Court of Claims of Ohio

The Ohio Judicial Center  
65 South Front Street, Third Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215  
614.387.9800 or 1.800.824.8263  
[www.cco.state.oh.us](http://www.cco.state.oh.us)

---

AARON HAWKINS

Plaintiff

v.

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF  
TRANSPORTATION

Defendant

Case No. 2007-03251-AD

Clerk Miles C. Durfey

ENTRY OF ADMINISTRATIVE  
DETERMINATION

---

Having considered all the evidence in the claim file and, for the reasons set forth in the memorandum decision filed concurrently herewith, judgment is rendered in favor of defendant. Court costs are assessed against plaintiff.

---

MILES C. DURFEY  
Clerk

Entry cc:

Aaron Hawkins  
3311 Seville Road  
Seville, Ohio 44273

James G. Beasley, Director  
Department of Transportation  
1980 West Broad Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43223

RDK/laa  
6/25  
Filed 8/8/07  
Sent to S.C. reporter 10/2/07