

[Cite as *In re Manring*, 2003-Ohio-4539.]

**IN THE COURT OF CLAIMS OF OHIO**  
**VICTIMS OF CRIME DIVISION**

|                        |   |                           |
|------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| IN RE: MARY B. MANRING | : | Case No. V2002-51966      |
| MARY B. MANRING        | : | <u>ORDER OF A THREE-</u>  |
| Applicant              | : | <u>COMMISSIONER PANEL</u> |
|                        |   |                           |
| : : : : :              |   |                           |

{¶1} On July 9, 2002, the Attorney General denied the applicant’s claim for an award of reparations pursuant to R.C. 2743.60(A) contending that the applicant failed to report the incident to law enforcement officials. On November 14, 2002, the Attorney General modified the July 9, 2002 decision and granted the applicant an award of reparations in the amount of \$70.18. However, the Attorney General denied the applicant’s work loss claim as unverifiable. On November 27, 2002, the Attorney General issued an Amended Final Decision indicating that the previously granted award of \$70.18 would be paid. However, the Attorney General denied the work loss claim as unverifiable and the St. Rita’s Medical Center bill as HCAP recoverable. On December 5, 2002, the applicant appealed the Attorney General’s Amended Final Decision. Hence, this appeal came to be heard before this panel of three commissioners on May 7, 2003 at 10:40 A.M.

{¶2} Neither the applicant nor anyone on her behalf appeared at the hearing. An Assistant Attorney General attended the hearing and presented brief comments for this panel’s

consideration. The Assistant Attorney General advised the panel that the applicant had failed to submit proof of her income in order to properly determine HCAP eligibility. The Assistant Attorney General stated that the applicant may present such information for further consideration in a supplemental compensation application.

{¶3} From review of the file and with full and careful consideration given to all the information presented at the hearing, we find that the November 27, 2002 decision of the Attorney General shall be affirmed.

{¶4} IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT

{¶5} 1) The November 27, 2002 decision of the Attorney General is AFFIRMED;

{¶6} 2) This case is remanded to the Attorney General for payment of the \$70.18 award;

{¶7} 3) This order is entered without prejudice to the applicant's right to file a supplemental compensation application pursuant to R.C. 2743.68;

{¶8} 4) Costs are assumed by the court of claims victims of crime fund.

---

CLARK B. WEAVER, SR.  
Commissioner

---

DALE A. THOMPSON  
Commissioner

Filed 7-2-2003  
Jr. Vol. 2250, Pgs. 89-90  
To S.C. Reporter 8-26-2003

---

ASHER W. SWEENEY  
Commissioner