

[Cite as *In re Woodworth*, 2007-Ohio-2286.]

**IN THE COURT OF CLAIMS OF OHIO**

**VICTIMS OF CRIME DIVISION**

www.cco.state.oh.us

IN RE: KEITH A. WOODWORTH	:	Case No. V2006-20771
KEITH A. WOODWORTH	:	Commissioners:
Applicant	:	Randi Ostry LeHoty, Presiding
	:	Gregory P. Barwell
	:	James H. Hewitt III
_____	:	
_____	:	<u>ORDER OF A THREE-</u>
	:	<u>COMMISSIONER PANEL</u>
	:	
	:	
	:	
	:	

{¶1} The applicant filed a reparations application seeking reimbursement of expenses incurred with respect to a November 12, 2005 assault and burglary incident. On March 22, 2006, the Attorney General granted the applicant an award in the amount of \$741.50, of which \$30.00 represents allowable expense and \$711.50 represents work loss incurred between November 13, 2005 and January 20, 2006. On April 19, 2006, the applicant filed a request for reconsideration asserting that he incurred additional work loss. On April 21, 2006, the applicant filed a supplemental compensation application seeking additional reimbursement. On July 20, 2006, the Attorney General granted the applicant an additional award of reparations in the amount of \$390.00 for unreimbursed allowable expense. On July 27, 2006, the Attorney General issued a Final Decision that determined the previous decision warranted no modification. On August 14, 2006, the applicant filed a notice of appeal to the Attorney

General's July 27, 2006 Final Decision. On November 15, 2006 at 11:00 A.M., this matter was heard before this panel of three commissioners.

{¶2} Neither the applicant nor anyone on his behalf appeared at the hearing. An Assistant Attorney General attended the hearing and presented testimony and brief comments for the panel's consideration. Julie Quinn ("Ms. Quinn"), an Attorney General economic loss specialist, briefly testified and explained how she calculated the applicant's total economic loss. After hearing Ms. Quinn's testimony, the Assistant Attorney General reiterated her position for denying the claim.

{¶3} From review of the file and with full and careful consideration given to all the information presented at the hearing, we find the July 27, 2006 decision of the Attorney General shall be affirmed.

{¶4} IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT

{¶5} 1) The July 27, 2006 decision of the Attorney General is AFFIRMED;

{¶6} 2) This claim is DENIED and judgment is rendered for the state of Ohio;

{¶7} 3) This order is entered without prejudice to the applicant's right to file a supplemental compensation application, within five years of this order, pursuant to R.C. 2743.68;

{¶8} Costs are assumed by the court of claims victims of crime fund.

---

RANDI OSTRY LE HOTY  
Presiding Commissioner

[Cite as *In re Woodworth*, 2007-Ohio-2286.]

---

GREGORY P. BARWELL  
Commissioner

---

JAMES H. HEWITT III  
Commissioner

ID #\1-dld-tad-113006

A copy of the foregoing was personally served upon the Attorney General and sent by regular mail to Ashtabula County Prosecuting Attorney and to:

Filed 3-2-2007  
Jr. Vol. 2263, Pgs. 165-167  
To S.C. Reporter 5-11-2007

**IN THE COURT OF CLAIMS OF OHIO**

**VICTIMS OF CRIME DIVISION**

www.cco.state.oh.us

IN RE: KENNETH A. WOODWORTH	:	Case No. V2006-20771
KENNETH A. WOODWORTH	:	Commissioner:
Applicant	:	Gregory P. Barwell, Presiding
_____	:	<u>NOTICE OF EXTENSION OF</u>
<u>TIME</u>	:	
_____	:	
	:	
	:	
	:	

The applicant filed a reparations application seeking reimbursement of expenses incurred with respect to a November 12, 2005 assault and burglary incident. On March 22, 2006, the Attorney General granted the applicant an award in the amount of \$741.50, of which \$30.00 represents allowable expense and \$711.50 represents work loss incurred between November 13, 2005 and January 20, 2006. On April 19, 2006, the applicant filed a request for reconsideration asserting that he incurred additional work loss. On April 21, 2006, the applicant filed a supplemental compensation application seeking additional reimbursement. On July 20, 2006, the Attorney General granted the applicant an additional award of reparations in the amount of \$390.00 for unreimbursed allowable expense. On July 27, 2006, the Attorney

General issued a Final Decision that determined the previous decision warranted no modification. On August 14, 2006, the applicant filed a notice of appeal to the Attorney General's July 27, 2006 Final Decision. Hence, on November 15, 2006 at 11:00 A.M., this matter came to be heard before this panel of three commissioners.

However as of today's date, this three-commissioner panel is still in the process of deliberation with respect to this claim. Based upon the complexity of the issues involved in this particular appeal, we find that additional time is required by this panel in order to make a reasonable and lawful decision in this case. Therefore, pursuant to R.C. 2743.61(B), the panel's sixty-day time period for rendering decisions is extended in light of the above reasons. A final determination shall be rendered within 90 days of this notice.

---

GREGORY P. BARWELL  
Presiding Commissioner

ID #A4-dld-tad-082906

A copy of the foregoing was personally served upon the Attorney General and sent by regular mail to:

Case No. V2006-20771

-4-

ORDER