[Cite as Wanner v. State, 2002-Ohio-574.]

COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO, EIGHTH DISTRICT

COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA

No. 80607

ANTHONY WANNER : ORIGINAL ACTION

:

Relator : JOURNAL ENTRY

: AND vs. : OPINION

.

STATE OF OHIO :

:

Respondent :

DATE OF JOURNALIZATION: FEBRUARY 14, 2002

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDINGS: WRIT OF MANDAMUS

JUDGMENT: Writ Denied. (Motion No. 35535)

Motion for Summary Judgement is Granted. (Motion No. 34942)

APPEARANCES:

For Relator: ANTHONY WANNER, pro se

Inmate No. 409-854

P.O. Box 57

Marion, Ohio 43301-0057

For Respondent: WILLIAM D. MASON, ESQ.

Cuyahoga County Prosecutor BY: DIANE SMILANICK, ESQ. Assistant County Prosecutor Justice Center - 9th Floor

1200 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 44113

[Cite as Wanner v. State, 2002-Ohio-574.] CELEBREZZE, J.

On December 11, 2001, the relator, Anthony Wanner, commenced this mandamus action to compel the Cuyahoga County Common Pleas Court to rule on an October 9, 2001 motion for jail time credit in the underlying case, State v. Wanner, Cuyahoga County Common Pleas Court Case No. CR-396055. On January 8, 2002, the common pleas court moved for summary judgment on the grounds of mootness. Attached to the dispositive motion was a copy of a certified journal entry in the underlying case granting Wanner ninety days This journal entry establishes that the court jail time credit. has fulfilled its duties to rule on the outstanding motion and to state explicitly in a journal entry the number of days jail credit to which Wanner is entitled. State ex rel. Corder v. Wilson (1991), 68 Ohio App.3d 567, 589 N.E.2d 113. Accordingly, this mandamus action is moot. This court grants the motion for summary judgment.

Additionally, the relator failed to support his complaint with an affidavit "specifying the details of the claim" as required by Local Rule 45(B)(1)(a). State ex rel. Wilson v. Calabrese (Jan. 18, 1996), Cuyahoga App. No. 70077, unreported and State ex rel. Smith v. McMonagle (July 17, 1996), Cuyahoga App. No. 70899, unreported.

The relator has also failed to comply with R.C. 2969.25, which requires an affidavit that describes each civil action or appeal filed by the relator within the previous five years in any state or

federal court. The relator's failure to comply with R.C. 2969.25 warrants dismissal of the complaint for a writ of mandamus. State ex rel. Zanders v. Ohio Parole Board (1998), 82 Ohio St.3d 421, 696 N.E.2d 594 and State ex rel. Alford v. Winters (1997), 80 Ohio St.3d 285, 685 N.E.2d 1242.

The petition is also defective because it is improperly Wanner styled this petition as "State of Ohio v. captioned. Anthony Wanner." R.C. 2731.04 requires that an application for a writ of mandamus "must be by petition, in the name of the state on the relation of the person applying." This failure to properly caption a mandamus action is sufficient grounds for denying the writ and dismissing the petition. Maloney v. Court of Common Pleas of Allen County (1962), 173 Ohio St. 226, 181 N.E.2d 270. Moreover, the failure to caption the case correctly creates uncertainty as to the identity of the respondent. This court has held that this deficiency alone also warrants dismissal. State ex rel. Larry Calloway v. Court of Common Pleas of Cuyahoga County (Feb. 27, 1997), Cuyahoga App. No. 71699, unreported; State ex rel. Samuels v. Cleveland Municipal Court (Nov. 22, 1994), Cuyahoga App. No. 67762, unreported; and State ex rel. White v. Villanueva (Oct. 6, 1993), Cuayhoga App. No. 66009, unreported.

Accordingly, the court denies the writ. Costs assessed against the relator. The clerk is directed to serve upon the

parties notice of this judgment and its date of entry upon the journal. Civ.R. 58(B).

Writ denied.

PATRICIA A. BLACKMON, P.J., AND

COLLEEN CONWAY COONEY, J., CONCUR.

FRANK D. CELEBREZZE, JR. JUDGE