COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO, EIGHTH DISTRICT

COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA

NO. 87102

STATE OF OHIO,		:		
	Plaintiff-Appellee	: : :	JOURNAL ENTRY and	
vs.		:	OPINION	
RICCOTIZE	DAWSON, Defendant-Appellant	: : :		
DATE OF AN OF DECISIO	NNOUNCEMENT ON	:	JULY 6, 2006	
CHARACTER	OF PROCEEDING:	: : :	Criminal appeal from Common Pleas Court Case No. CR-433027	
JUDGMENT		:	AFFIRMED.	
DATE OF JOURNALIZATION		:		
APPEARANCI	ES:			
For plaintiff-appellee:		William D. Mason, Esq. Cuyahoga County Prosecutor BY: George Rukovena, Esq. Assistant County Prosecutor The Justice Center - 9 th Floor 1200 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 44113		
Ini Lo: 20		Inma Lora 2075	Riccotize Dawson, Pro Se Inmate No. 452-252 Lorain Correctional Institution 2075 South Avon Belden Road Grafton, Ohio 44044	

MICHAEL J. CORRIGAN, J.:

{¶1} In August 2003, defendant Riccotize Dawson pleaded quilty to one count of voluntary manslaughter with a firearm specification and one count of felonious assault. The court accepted the pleas and sentenced Dawson to a three-year term on the firearm specification, to be served prior to a ten-year sentence on the voluntary manslaughter count and seven-year sentence on the felonious assault count. The court ordered the manslaughter and felonious assault sentences to be served consecutively, for a total sentence of 20 years. In May 2005, Dawson asked this court for leave to file a delayed appeal, but we denied that motion. He then filed a motion to withdraw his guilty plea pursuant to Crim.R. As grounds for withdrawing his plea, Dawson argued that the 32.1. failed to inform him that he would be waiving his court constitutional rights, that the court failed to inform him that his penalty would include post-release control, and that the court failed to set forth its reasons for imposing consecutive sentences. The court denied the motion and this appeal followed.

{¶2} We summarily reject all of Dawson's arguments as he is impermissibly using a Crim.R. 32.1 post-sentence motion to withdraw his guilty pleas as a substitute for appeal. See *City of Shaker Heights v. Jackson*, Cuyahoga App. No. 86161, 2006-Ohio-707; *State v. McGuire*, Cuyahoga App. No. 86608, 2006-Ohio-1330. All of the arguments raised in this appeal could have and should have been raised on a direct appeal from his conviction. Instead, Dawson waited two years to file a motion for a delayed appeal. When we denied him leave to file a delayed appeal, he filed the motion currently before us. Nothing contained in that motion is of a character that would demonstrate the requisite "manifest injustice" required under Crim.R. 32.1. Instead, Dawson submitted for consideration to the court fairly run-of-the-mill legal arguments relating to the validity of the plea that would ordinarily be raised on direct appeal.

Judgment affirmed.

It is ordered that appellee recover of appellant its costs herein taxed.

The court finds there were reasonable grounds for this appeal.

It is ordered that a special mandate issue out of this court directing the Common Pleas Court to carry this judgment into execution. The defendant's conviction having been affirmed, any bail pending appeal is terminated. Case remanded to the trial court for execution of sentence.

A certified copy of this entry shall constitute the mandate pursuant to Rule 27 of the Rules of Appellate Procedure.

MICHAEL J. CORRIGAN JUDGE

COLLEEN CONWAY COONEY, P.J., and

PATRICIA ANN BLACKMON, J., CONCUR.

N.B. This entry is an announcement of the court's decision. See App.R. 22(B), 22(D) and 26(A); Loc.App.R. 22. This decision will be journalized and will become the judgment and order of the court pursuant to App.R.22(E) unless a motion for reconsideration with supporting brief, per App.R. 26(A), is filed within ten (10) days of the announcement of the court's decision. The time period for review by the Supreme Court of Ohio shall begin to run upon the journalization of this court's announcement of decision by the clerk per App.R. 22(E). See, also, S.Ct.Prac.R. II, Section 2(A)(1).