

[Cite as *Powell v. Corrigan*, 2012-Ohio-5187.]

# Court of Appeals of Ohio

EIGHTH APPELLATE DISTRICT  
COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA

---

JOURNAL ENTRY AND OPINION  
No. 98530

---

**WILLIAM POWELL**

RELATOR

vs.

**HONORABLE BRIAN J. CORRIGAN**

RESPONDENT

---

**JUDGMENT:  
WRIT DENIED**

---

Writ of Mandamus  
Motion No. 456150  
Order No. 459373

**RELEASE DATE:** November 5, 2012

**RELATOR**

William Powell, Pro Se  
No. A582-780  
Lake Erie Correctional Institution  
501 Thompson Road  
P.O. Box 8000  
Conneaut, Ohio 44030

**ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT**

Timothy J. McGinty  
Cuyahoga County Prosecutor  
By: James E. Moss  
Assistant County Prosecutor  
8<sup>th</sup> Floor, Justice Center  
1200 Ontario Street  
Cleveland, Ohio 44113

KATHLEEN ANN KEOUGH, J.:

{¶1} William Powell has filed a complaint for a writ of mandamus. Powell seeks an order from this court that requires Judge Brian Corrigan to grant him 39 additional days of jail-time credit in the *State v. Powell*, Cuyahoga C.P. Case No. CR-526146. Judge Corrigan has filed a motion for summary judgment, which we grant for the following reasons.

{¶2} Initially, we find that Powell's complaint for a writ of mandamus is improperly captioned and thus procedurally defective. The complaint for a writ of mandamus must be brought in the name of the state on relation of the person applying. R.C. 2731.04; *Maloney v. Court of Common Pleas of Allen Cty.*, 173 Ohio St. 226, 181 N.E.2d 270 (1962); *Gannon v. Gallagher*, 145 Ohio St. 170, 60 N.E.2d 666 (1945); *State v. Perry*, 8th Dist. No. 92873, 2009-Ohio-1606.

{¶3} In addition, the trial court's calculation of jail-time credit cannot be addressed through an extraordinary writ. *State ex rel. Ponsky v. Koch*, 8th Dist. No. 92437, 2009-Ohio-339. Any error associated with the calculation of jail-time credit must be addressed through a direct appeal. *State ex rel. Flakes v. Russo*, 8th Dist. No. 94044, 2009-Ohio-6474; *State ex rel. Britton v. Foley-Jones*, 8th Dist. No. 73646, 1998 Ohio App. LEXIS 856 (Mar. 5, 1998); *State ex rel. Spates v. Sweeney*, 8th Dist. No. 71986 (Apr. 17, 1997). Finally, Powell has or had an adequate remedy at law, through an appeal, for review of any alleged sentencing error. *State ex rel. Hughley v. McMonagle*,

121 Ohio St.3d 536, 2009-Ohio-1703, 905 N.E.2d 1220; *State ex rel. Jaffal v. Calabrese*, 105 Ohio St.3d 440, 2005-Ohio-2591, 828 N.E. 107. Mandamus is not appropriate in the case sub judice. ¶4 Accordingly, we grant Judge Corrigan's motion for summary judgment. Powell to pay costs. The court directs the clerk of court to serve all parties with notice of this judgment and its date of entry upon the journal as required by Civ.R. 58(B).

¶5 Writ denied.

---

KATHLEEN ANN KEOUGH, JUDGE

KENNETH A. ROCCO, P.J., and  
EILEEN A. GALLAGHER, J., CONCUR