THE COURT OF APPEALS

ELEVENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

LAKE COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO,	:	MEMORANDUM OPINION
Plaintiff-Appellee,	:	
-VS-	:	CASE NOS. 2008-L-078 and 2008-L-079
RONALD DUDAS,	:	
Defendant-Appellant.	:	

Civil Appeal from the Court of Common Pleas, Case Nos. 06 CR 000560 and 06 CR 000700

Judgment: Appeals dismissed.

William D. Mason, Cuyahoga County Prosecutor, *Daniel Kasaris*, Assistant Prosecutor, The Justice Center, 9th Floor, 1200 Ontario Street, Cleveland, OH 44113 (For Plaintiff-Appellee).

Ronald Dudas, pro se, PID: 520-261, Lake Erie Correctional Institution, P.O. Box 8000, Conneaut, OH 44430-8000 (Defendant-Appellant).

CYNTHIA WESTCOTT RICE, J.,

{**¶1**} On May 16, 2008, appellant, Ronald Dudas, pro se, filed notices of appeal from an April 11, 2008 judgment issued by the Lake County Court of Common Pleas denying his request for "civil investigative demand against state" and "Civil Rule 34 request for production of documents."

{**q2**} Under App.R. 4(A), appellant's notices of appeal were due to be filed no later than Monday, May 12, 2008, which was not a holiday or a weekend. Thus, his appeals filed May 16, 2008, were untimely.

 $\{\P3\}$ App.R. 4(A) states:

{**q4**} "A party shall file the notice of appeal required by App.R. 3 within thirty days of the later of entry of the judgment or order appealed or, in a civil case, service of the notice of judgment and its entry if service is not made on the party within the three day rule period in Rule 58(B) of the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure."

{**¶5**} Loc.R. 3(D)(2) of the Eleventh District Court of Appeals provides:

{**¶6**} "In the filing of a Notice of Appeal in civil cases in which the trial court clerk has not complied with Ohio Civ.R. 58(B), *and the Notice of Appeal is deemed to be filed out of rule*, appellant shall attach an affidavit from the trial court clerk stating that service was not perfected pursuant to Ohio App.R. 4(A). The clerk shall then perfect service and furnish this Court with a copy of the appearance docket in which date of service has been noted. Lack of compliance shall result in the *sua sponte* dismissal of the appeal under Ohio App.R. 4(A)." (Emphasis sic.)

{**q7**} Here, appellant has not complied with the thirty-day rule set forth in App.R. 4(A) nor has appellant alleged that there was a failure by the trial court clerk to comply with Civ.R. 58(B). The time requirement is jurisdictional in nature and may not be enlarged by an appellate court. *State ex rel. Pendell v. Adams Cty. Bd. of Elections* (1988), 40 Ohio St.3d 58, 60; App.R. 14(B).

{¶8} Based upon the foregoing analysis, the appeals are hereby sua sponte dismissed as being untimely.

{**¶9**} Appeals dismissed.

MARY JANE TRAPP, .J.,

TIMOTHY P. CANNON, J.,

concur.

2