



resulting from *revocation of probation and imposition of the suspended sentence*. *State v. Wilson*, 41 Ohio St.2d 236, 325 N.E.2d 236 (1975); *State v. Leister*, 6th Dist. Lucas No. L 89-328, 1990 WL 162613 (Oct. 26, 1990), \*2. Appellant has not demonstrated any and a favorable disposition could not restore the time already served. *Wilson; Leister; State v. Presutti*, 5th Dist. Tuscarawas No. 2002 AP 12 0098, 2003-Ohio-4478, ¶12-13.

{¶4} The appeal is therefore dismissed as moot.

TIMOTHY P. CANNON, J.,

MATT LYNCH, J.,

concur.