

COURT OF APPEALS
DELAWARE COUNTY, OHIO
FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

STATE OF OHIO	:	JUDGES:
	:	Hon: W. Scott Gwin, P.J.
Plaintiff-Appellee	:	Hon: Sheila G. Farmer, J.
	:	Hon: John W. Wise, J.
-vs-	:	
	:	Case No. 2004-CAC-07057
LONNIE R. CHANEY	:	
	:	
Defendant-Appellant	:	<u>OPINION</u>

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDING: Criminal appeal from the Delaware Municipal Court of Common Pleas, Case No. 02CRB01617

JUDGMENT: Affirmed

DATE OF JUDGMENT ENTRY: December 13, 2004

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff-Appellant

KYLE E. ROHRER
Justice Center
70 North Union Street
Delaware, OH 43015

For Defendant-Appellee

LONNIE R. CHANEY #446-826
NCCI
Box 1812
Marion, Ohio 43301-1812

Gwin, P.J.

{¶1} Defendant Lonnie R. Chaney appeals a judgment of the Municipal Court of Delaware County, Ohio, which overruled his motion to vacate payment of court fines made pursuant to R.C. 2929.29. Appellant assigns a single error to the trial court:

{¶2} “I. WHETHER THE TRIAL COURT ABUSED ITS DISCRETION AND VIOLATED PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS BY DENYING APPELLANT’S MOTION TO VACATE COSTS AND FEE(S) WITHOUT FIRST ACCORDING APPELLANT A HEARING ON THE MATTER.”

{¶3} The record indicates appellant moved the court to vacate payment of court costs while incarcerated in the North Central Correctional Institution of Marion, Ohio. The costs were imposed by judgment entry of July 30, 2002, and gave appellant until August 21, 2002, to either pay the fines and costs or to show cause why he could not. Appellant did not appear for the show-cause hearing, and the court issued a bench warrant.

{¶4} The trial court did not hold a hearing on the motion to vacate payment of court costs, finding the motion was untimely, lack sufficient legal basis, and lack sufficient factual basis. Appellant did not request written findings of fact and conclusions of law.

{¶5} Appellant has not demonstrated any attempt had been made to collect the fines.

{¶6} In the case of *State v. White*, 103 Ohio St. 3d 580, 2004-Ohio-5989, the Ohio Supreme Court found a trial court may assess court costs against an indigent defendant convicted of a felony as part of the sentence. The Clerk of Courts may

attempt to collect the costs from the indigent defendant. Here, the costs arose from a misdemeanor offense, but we find that fact alone does not render *White* inapplicable here.

{¶7} R.C. 2929.18 makes a hearing discretionary when the court initially imposes a fine, but R.C. 2947.14 mandates a hearing held to determine an offender's ability to pay in the event he faces incarceration due to non-payment, see *State v. Meyer* (1997), 124 Ohio App. 3d 373, 706 N.E. 2d 378.

{¶8} In the event appellant is at some later time brought before the trial court for failure to pay his fine and costs, he would be entitled to a hearing as to his ability to pay. Appellant has not demonstrated any attempt to enforce the fine and costs. An attempt to enforce a fine, costs, or any other financial sanction will trigger due process and hearing requirements, see, e.g. *Williams v. Illinois* (1970), 399 U.S. 235, 90 S. Ct. 2018, and *Tate v. Short* (1971), 401 U.S. 395, 91 S. Ct. 668.

{¶9} The assignment of error is overruled.

{¶10} For the foregoing reasons, the judgment of the Municipal Court of Delaware County, Ohio, is affirmed.

By Gwin, P.J.,
Farmer, J., and
Wise, J., concur

JUDGES

WSG:clw 1202

[Cite as *State v. Chaney*, 2004-Ohio-6712.]

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR DELAWARE COUNTY, OHIO
FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

STATE OF OHIO	:	
	:	
Plaintiff-Appellee	:	
	:	
-vs-	:	JUDGMENT ENTRY
	:	
LONNIE R. CHANEY	:	
	:	
Defendant-Appellant	:	CASE NO. 2004-CAC-07057

For the reasons stated in our accompanying Memorandum-Opinion, the judgment of the Municipal Court of Delaware County, Ohio, is affirmed. Costs to appellant.

JUDGES