COURT OF APPEALS ASHLAND COUNTY, OHIO FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

JUDGES:

STATE OF OHIO Hon: John F. Boggins, P.J.

Hon: W. Scott Gwin, J.

Plaintiff-Appellee Hon: John W. Wise, J.

-VS-

Case No. 05-COA-009

APRIL L. CARR

Defendant-Appellant OPINION

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDING: Civil appeal from the Ashland County Court

of Common Pleas, Case No. 03-CRI-087

JUDGMENT: Reversed and Remanded

DATE OF JUDGMENT ENTRY: July 6, 2005

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff-Appellee RAMONA FRANCESCONI ROGERS ROBERT P. DESANTO

ASHLAND COUNTY PROSECUTOR

Suite 307, Orange Tree Square

Ashland, OH 44805

For Defendant-Appellant

DOUGLAS A. MILHOAN 610 Market Avenue North

Canton, OH 44702

Gwin, J.,

- {¶1} On April 26, 2003, the Ashland County Grand Jury indicted appellant April L. Carr, aka, Ronda Elliott, on three counts of forgery, felonies of the fifth degree in violation of R.C. 2913.31 (A)(3). On December 19, 2003, appellant pled guilty to two counts of forgery. Count three was dismissed. The court deferred sentencing and ordered a pre-sentence investigation report. The court conducted the sentencing hearing on January 21, 2004. By judgment entry filed January 22, 2004, the court sentenced appellant to eight months on each count to be served concurrently, but consecutively to an eleven month sentence the appellant was serving out of Shelby County.
- {¶2} Appellant filed an appeal and this court reversed for resentencing pursuant to State v. Comer, 99 Ohio St.3d 463, 2003-Ohio-4165. See, State v. Carr, Ashland App. No. 04COA009, 2004-Ohio-4638. Upon remand, the trial court reimposed the same sentence without hearing. See, Judgment Entry filed February 1, 2005.
- {¶3} Appellant filed an appeal and this matter is now before this court for consideration. Assignment of error is as follows:
- {¶4} "THE TRIAL COURT ERRED BY HOLDING A RESENTENCING PROCEEDING OUTSIDE OF APPELLANT'S PRESENCE."
- {¶5} Appellant claims the trial court erred in re-sentencing her in absentia. We agree.
- {¶6} Upon remand by this court for re-sentencing, the trial court re-sentenced appellant without her presence. Crim. R. 43(A) and Section 10, Article I of the Ohio

Constitution mandate a defendant's presence at every stage of the criminal proceedings, including imposition of sentence.

- {¶7} In *State v. Wallace*, Richland App. No. 2002CA0072, 2003-Ohio-4119, ¶14, this court set forth the law regarding this issue as follows:
- {¶8} "A defendant has a fundamental right to be present at all critical stages of his criminal trial. *State v. Hill*, 73 Ohio St.3d 433, 444, 1995-Ohio-287, 653 N.E.2d 271, citing, Crim.R. 43(A) and Section 10, Article I, Ohio Constitution. The United States Supreme Court has stated that an accused is guaranteed the right to be present at all stages of a criminal proceeding that is critical to its outcome when his or her absence may frustrate the fairness of the proceedings. *Kentucky v. Stincer* (1987), 482 U.S. 730, 745, 107 S. Ct. 2658. This right is embodied in Crim. R. 43(A). Criminal Rule 43(A) provides that, 'the defendant shall be present at the arraignment and every stage of the trial, including the impaneling of the jury, the return of the verdict, and the imposition of sentence, * * *."
- $\P9$ We note the state concurs with appellant's argument. See, Appellee's Brief at 5.
- {¶10} Upon review, we find the trial court erred in re-sentencing appellant in absentia. See, also, *State v. Caudill*, Ashland App. No. 04COA68, 2005-Ohio-970; *State v. Carsey*, Ashland App. No. 04COA68, 2005-Ohio-973; *State v. Salyers*, Ashland App. No. 04COA60, 2005-Ohio-972.
 - **{¶11}** The sole assignment of error is granted.

	{¶12}	The	judgme	ent o	f the	Court	of	Common	Pleas	of	Ashland	County,	Ohio i	is
herek	y revers	sed a	and the	mat	ter is	remar	nde	d to said o	court fo	r re	e-sentend	cing.		

By Gwin, J.,

Boggins, P. J., and

Wise, J., concur

JUDGES

WSG:clw 0629

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR ASHLAND COUNTY, OHIO FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

STATE OF OHIO		:
	Plaintiff-Appellee	: : :
-VS-		: : JUDGMENT ENTRY :
APRIL L. CARR		: :
	Defendant-Appellant	: CASE NO. 05-COA-009
For the reaso	ns stated in our accompan	ying Memorandum-Opinion, the judgment of
the Court of Com	mon Pleas of Ashland Cou	nty, Ohio is hereby reversed and remanded.
Costs to appellee).	
		JUDGES