COURT OF APPEALS FAIRFIELD COUNTY, OHIO FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

KEVIN HUGHLEY JUDGES:

Hon. Sheila G. Farmer, P.J. Hon. William B. Hoffman, J.

Hon. John W. Wise, J.

-VS-

Case No. 09CA00045

WARDEN SHERI DUFFEY, ET AL.

Petitioner

Respondents <u>OPINION</u>

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDING: Writ of Habeas Corpus

JUDGMENT: Petition Denied

DATE OF JUDGMENT ENTRY: November 9, 2009

APPEARANCES:

For Petitioner For Respondent

KEVIN HUGHLEY, PRO SE

c/o Southeastern Correctional Institution

5900 B.I.S Road

Lancaster, Ohio 43130

RICHARD CORDRAY Attorney General of Ohio

BY: M. SCOTT CRISS Assistant Attorney General Criminal Justice Section 150 E. Gay Street, 16th Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Farmer, P.J.

- **{¶1}** Petitioner, Kevin Hughley, has filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus alleging he is entitled to immediate release from imprisonment because Petitioner successfully completed an intensive prison program ("IPP"). Respondent has filed a Motion to Dismiss averring Petitioner was discharged from the program prior to its successful completion. Respondent further suggests Petitioner has failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
- {¶2} R.C. 5120.032(B)(1)(b) grants the department the power to reduce a prison term upon successful completion of the IPP. Ohio Adm.Code 5120-11-10(B) confirms this: "The department shall terminate the stated prison term of a prisoner upon the prisoner's successful completion of a ninety-day period in an intensive program prison." In other words, there is a conditional right to be released. The condition is successful completion of the IPP.
- {¶3} The Supreme Court has stated, "There is no constitutional or inherent right

 * * * to be conditionally released before the expiration of a valid sentence.' " *638 State

 ex rel. Hattie v. Goldhardt (1994), 69 Ohio St.3d 123, 125, 630 N.E.2d 696, 698, quoting

 Greenholtz v. Inmates of Nebraska Penal & Correctional Complex (1979), 442 U.S. 1, 7,

 99 S.Ct. 2100, 2104, 60 L.Ed.2d 668, 675." State ex rel. Carrion v. Ohio Adult Parole

 Authority 80 Ohio St.3d 637, 638, 687 N.E.2d 759, 760).
- **{¶4}** Petitioner has not demonstrated his successful completion of an IPP, therefore, Petitioner's prison sentence is not required to be terminated pursuant to OAC 5120-11-10(B).

{¶5} Finally, Petitioner remains incarcerated pursuant to a valid sentence which has not yet expired. As the Supreme Court has held, " [H]abeas corpus is generally available only when the petitioner's maximum sentence has expired and he is being held unlawfully. *Morgan v. Ohio Adult Parole Auth.* (1994), 68 Ohio St.3d 344, 346, 626 N.E.2d 939, 941." *Heddleston v. Mack* 84 Ohio St.3d 213, 213-214, 702 N.E.2d 1198, 1198 (Ohio,1998).

{¶6} Because Petitioner remains incarcerated pursuant to a valid sentence which has not yet expired, habeas corpus will not lie. The Petition is denied.

{¶7} PETITION DENIED.

{¶8} COSTS TO PETITIONER.

{¶9} IT IS SO ORDERED.

By: Farmer, P.J.

Hoffman, J. and

Wise, J. concur

<u>s/ Sheila G. Farmer</u> HON. SHEILA G. FARMER

<u>s/ William B. Hoffman</u> HON. WILLIAM B. HOFFMAN

<u>s/ John W. Wise</u> HON. JOHN W. WISE

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR FAIRFIELD COUNTY, OHIO FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

KEVIN HUGHLEY	:	
Petitioner	: :	
-vs-	: :	JUDGMENT ENTRY
	•	

WARDEN SHERI DUFFEY, ET AL.

Respondents : Case No. 09CA00045

For the reasons stated in our accompanying Memorandum-Opinion, the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is denied.

PETITION DENIED.

COSTS TO PETITIONER.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Sheila G. Farmer
HON. SHEILA G. FARMER

s/ William B. Hoffman
HON. WILLIAM B. HOFFMAN

<u>s/ John W. Wise</u> HON. JOHN W. WISE