COURT OF APPEALS STARK COUNTY, OHIO FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

: JUDGES:

TCF NATIONAL BANK FBO AEON FINANCIAL, LLC.

Julie A. Edwards, J. Patricia A. Delaney, J.

William B. Hoffman, P.J.

Plaintiff-Appellant

Case No. 2009 CA 00075

-vs-

:

<u>OPINION</u>

RONALD GUY, et al.,

Defendants-Appellees

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDING: Appeal from Stark County

Court of Common Pleas Case No.

2008 CV 04924

JUDGMENT: Reversed and Remanded

DATE OF JUDGMENT ENTRY: March 29, 2010

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff-Appellant For Defendants-Appellees

MARK A. SCHWARTZ BENEFICIAL MORTGAGE CO.

DAVID T. BRADY OF OHIO

KIRK W. LIEDERBACH C/o CT Corporation System, Inc.

27 N. Wacker Drive, Ste. #503 Registered Agent

Chicago, IL 60606 1300 East Ninth Street
Cleveland, Ohio 44114

CHASE BANK 3 Times Square

New York, NY 10036

For Defendents-Appellees

JOSEPH MATTHEW ZEGELEN P.O. Box 104 Baltic, Ohio 43804

PHILLIP DALE SCHANDEL
Phillip D. Schandel Co.
116 Cleveland Avenue, N.W., #709
Canton, Ohio 44702

AUDRA & RONALD GUY 1411 Spangler Road, N.E. Canton, Ohio 44714-1652 UNKNOWN TENANT, IF ANY, OF 1027 Sixth Street, N.E. Canton, Ohio 44704

JOHN F. ANTHONY, II Assistant Prosecuting Attorney 110 Central Plaza South, Ste. #510 Canton, Ohio 44702 Edwards, J.

{¶1} Plaintiff-Appellant, TCF National Bank FBO Aeon Financial, LLC, appeals the March 2, 2009, Judgment Entry entered by the Stark County Court of Common Pleas, awarding it attorney fees in an amount less than sought.

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS AND CASE

- {¶2} Appellant purchased a tax lien certificate from the Stark County Treasurer on a property located in Stark County, Ohio. Subsequently, Appellant filed a Complaint for Foreclosure, pursuant to R.C. 5721.30 to 5721.46. Appellant's counsel filed a motion for private attorney's fees with a supporting Affidavit attached. The motion for fees requested \$2,500.00 in attorney's fees, to be taxed as a cost of the private foreclosure action, and requested a hearing.
- {¶3} The motion for attorney's fees was unopposed. Thereafter, the trial court issued an Order and Decree of Foreclosure awarding \$500.00 in attorney's fees and listed the statutory factors it considered. The trial court did not recite its reason(s) or offer its analysis to explain its award in the entry.
- {¶4} It is from this Judgment Entry Appellant appeals, raising as its sole assignment of error:
- {¶5} "THE TRIAL COURT ERRED AND ABUSED ITS DISCRETION WHEN IT ARBITRARILY AWARDED AEON \$500.00 IN ATTORNEY FEES BY A JUDGMENT ENTERED WITHOUT SPECIFIC ANALYTICAL FINDINGS, WHEN THE UNDISPUTED EVIDENCE IN AEON'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ATTORNEY FEES CLEARLY SHOWED ITS COUNSEL HAD EARNED, AND BEEN PAID, \$2,500.00 AND THE

FEES AWARDED REPRESENTED ONLY 20% OF THE AMOUNT SOUGHT AND EARNED."

- {¶6} Generally, the starting point in determining the amount of attorney's fees to award is the computation of the lodestar figure. *Blum v. Stenson* (1984), 465 U.S. 886, 888,104 S.Ct. 1541, 1543-1544, 79 L.Ed.2d 891, 895-896; *Hensley v. Eckerhart* (1983), 461 U.S. 424, 103 S.Ct. 1933, 76 L.Ed.2d 40. The lodestar is the number of hours expended multiplied by a reasonable hourly rate. *City of Burlington v. Dague* (1992), 505 U.S. 557, 559-561, 112 S.Ct. 2638, 2640, 120 L.Ed.2d 449, 454-456; *Blum*, 465 U.S. at 888; *Hensley*, 461 U.S. at 433. If the court deviates from the lodestar, it must provide a clear explanation. *Hensley*, 461 U.S. at 437.
- that calculation by application of the factors listed in DR 2-106(B), now, Ohio Rules of Professional Conduct 1.5. *Bittner v. Tri-County Toyota, Inc.* (1991), 58 Ohio St.3d 143, 145-146, 569 N.E.2d 464. These factors are: the time and labor involved in maintaining the litigation; the novelty and difficulty of the questions involved; the professional skill required to perform the necessary legal services; the attorney's inability to accept other cases; the fee customarily charged; the amount involved and the results obtained; any necessary time limitations; the nature and length of the attorney/client relationship; the experience, reputation, and ability of the attorney; and whether the fee is fixed or contingent. All factors may not be applicable in all cases and the trial court has the discretion to determine which factors to apply, and in what manner that application will affect the initial calculation. *Id.*

- Moreover, a determination of the amount of such fees lies within the sound discretion of the trial court. Unless the amount of fees determined is so high or so low as to shock the conscience, an appellate court shall not interfere. *Bittner*, *supra* at 146. (Citation omitted). Nonetheless, when making a fee award, the trial court must state the basis for the fee determination; absent such a statement, it is not possible for an appellate court to conduct a meaningful review. *Bittner v. Tri-County Toyota, Inc. supra*, at 146.
- {¶9} We are unable to determine from the Judgment Entry how the trial court arrived at the dollar amount awarded. The trial court failed to state the basis for its fee determination. The lodestar amount is not set forth. Absent such a statement, it is not possible for this Court to conduct a meaningful review and to determine what factors the court considered or the weight, if any, it placed on those factors. "[T]he trial court must state the basis for the fee determination." *Bittner*, *supra*, at *146*.
- {¶10} Accordingly, we reverse the attorney's fee award and remand the matter to the trial court for redetermination consistent with the Supreme Court's instructions in Bittner v. Tri-County Toyota, Inc., supra.

	{¶11}	Appellant's	sole	assignment	of	error is	sustained
--	--------------	-------------	------	------------	----	----------	-----------

By: Edwards, J.

Hoffman, P.J. and

Delaney, J. concur

s/Julie A. Edwards
s/William B. Hoffman
s/Patricia A. Delaney
JUDGES

JAE/d0223

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR STARK COUNTY, OHIO FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

TCF NATIONAL BANK FBO AEON FINANCIAL, LLC.	: :
Plaintiff-Appellant	: : :
-vs-	: : JUDGMENT ENTRY :
RONALD GUY, et al.,	
Defendants-Appellees	: CASE NO. 2009 CA 00075
For the reasons stated in our accomp	panying Opinion, the judgment of the Stark
County Court of Common Pleas is reverse	d and the case is remanded to that court for
further proceedings in accordance with our	Opinion and the law. Costs waived.
	s/Julie A. Edwards
	s/William B. Hoffman
	s/Patricia A. Delaney
	JUDGES