COURT OF APPEALS FAIRFIELD COUNTY, OHIO FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

STATE OF OHIO JUDGES:

Hon. Patricia A. Delaney, P.J. Hon. William B. Hoffman, J. Hon. Craig R. Baldwin, J.

-vs-

Case No. 16-CA-38, 16-CA-40

DUSTIN E. NEAL

Defendant-Appellant <u>OPINION</u>

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDING: Appeal from the Fairfield County Common

Pleas Court, Case Nos. 11-CR-546,

12-CR-224

JUDGMENT: Reversed and Remanded

DATE OF JUDGMENT ENTRY: November 3, 2017

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff-Appellee For Defendant-Appellant

R. KYLE WITT SCOTT P. WOOD
Prosecuting Attorney Conrad/Wood
ANDREA GREEN BOYD 120 East Main Street, Suite 200

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney Lancaster, Ohio 43130

239 W. Main Street Lancaster, Ohio 43130 Hoffman, J.

{¶1} Defendant-appellant Dustin E. Neal appeals the judgments entered by the Fairfield County Common Pleas Court overruling his motions to seal records. Plaintiff-appellee is the state of Ohio.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE¹

- **{¶2}** On December 9, 2011, Appellant was indicted on one count of rape of a three-year-old child (Case Number 2011-CR-546). The charge was dismissed without prejudice on June 14, 2012, due to a superseding indictment in Case Number 2012-CR-224.
- **{¶3}** Appellant was indicted on multiple felonies, including rape and gross sexual imposition involving the same three-year-old child, on June 1, 2012, in Case Number 2012-CR-224. The charges were dismissed without prejudice on May 24, 2013. Appellee has not re-filed any charges related to these allegations.
- **{¶4}** Appellant filed motions to seal the record in both cases. On September 21, 2016, the trial court overruled the motion as to Case Number 2012-CR-224, and the court overruled the motion as to Case Number 2011-CR-546 on October 18, 2016. The trial court found Appellant was not statutorily eligible to have his records sealed in either case because the statute of limitations had not expired.
- **{¶5}** Appellant filed an appeal in both cases, and we consolidated the appeals with Case Number 16-CA-38 controlling. In his brief, Appellant conceded this Court had ruled contrary to his position in *State v. Dye*, 5th Dist. Fairfield No. 15-CA-65, 2016-Ohio-

¹ A rendition of the facts is unnecessary for our disposition of this appeal.

Fairfield County, Case No. 16-CA-38, 16-CA-40

3

5065. However, Dye was pending before the Ohio Supreme Court on a certified conflict

with the decision of the Eighth District in State v. C.K., 8th Dist. Cuyahoga No. 99886,

2013-Ohio-5135. Accordingly this Court stayed the appeal sua sponte until the Supreme

Court issued an opinion or otherwise resolved *Dye*.

{¶6} The Ohio Supreme Court issued a merit opinion on September 27, 2017,

and we have lifted the stay. Appellant assigns a single error:

{¶7} THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN DETERMINING THAT APPELLANT WAS

STATUTORILY INELIGIBLE TO SEAL RECORDS OF DISMISSED CHARGES.

{¶8} In State v. Dye, Slip Opinion No. 2017-Ohio-7823, the Ohio Supreme Court

reversed the decision of this Court, and held R.C. 2953.52 does not require the relevant

statute of limitations to expire before a trial court can grant an application to seal the

records of a case dismissed without prejudice.

{¶9} Accordingly, Appellant's assignment of error is sustained.

{¶10} The judgment of the Fairfield County Common Pleas Court is reversed.

This case is remanded to that court for further proceedings according to law.

By: Hoffman, J.

Delaney, P.J. and

Baldwin, J. concur