[Cite as State v. Lewis, 2009-Ohio-3322.]

| STATE OF OHIO |) | IN THE COURT OF APPEALS |
|------------------|------|-------------------------|
| |)ss: | NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT |
| COUNTY OF LORAIN |) | |

STATE OF OHIO C.A. No. 08CA09379

Appellee

v. APPEAL FROM JUDGMENT

ENTERED IN THE

MICHAEL LEWIS COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

COUNTY OF LORAIN, OHIO

Appellant CASE No. 06CR070966

DECISION AND JOURNAL ENTRY

Dated: July 6, 2009

BELFANCE, Judge.

{¶1} Defendant-Appellant Michael A. Lewis appeals issues related to his conviction in the Lorain County Court of Common Pleas. For reasons set forth below, we dismiss the appeal.

I.

{¶2} In 2006, a grand jury issued a twenty-three count indictment against Lewis which included five attendant major drug offender specifications. A subsequent entry by the trial court indicates that Lewis pled guilty to the "INDICTMENT MINUS MDO[,]" which we interpret to mean that Lewis pled guilty to all the charges in the indictment except for the major drug offender specifications. In October 2006, the trial court sentenced Lewis to a total of eleven years in prison, fined him \$10,000.00, and stated in its entry that Lewis was "notified about mandatory post release control obligation pursuant to law." The trial court did not indicate the resolution of the specifications in its judgment entry and there is no entry on the docket indicating that they were dismissed.

{¶3} Lewis filed a motion for a delayed appeal, which we granted, and has raised two assignments of error.

II.

- {¶4} Initially we note that the Ohio Constitution limits this Court's appellate jurisdiction to the review of final judgments or orders of lower courts. Section 3(B)(2), Article IV, Ohio Constitution. In criminal cases, the Supreme Court of Ohio has stated that "a judgment of conviction is a final appealable order under R.C. 2505.02 when it sets forth (1) the guilty plea, the jury verdict, or the finding of the court upon which the conviction is based; (2) the sentence; (3) the signature of the judge; and (4) entry on the journal by the clerk of court." *State v. Baker*, 119 Ohio St.3d 197, 2008-Ohio-3330, at ¶18. We have stated that "the failure of an entry to dispose of the court's ruling as to each prosecuted charge renders the order of the trial court merely interlocutory." *State v. Hayes* (May 24, 2000), 9th Dist. No. 99CA007416, at *1. This Court has also held that the requirements for a final appealable order apply to specifications attendant to convictions. Id.; see, also, *State v. Hamrick* (June 2, 1993), 5th Dist. No. CA-3675, at *1.
- {¶5} In this case, the judgment entry does not indicate the resolution of the major drug offender specifications for which Lewis was charged in the indictment. Further, the docket provided to this Court on appeal does not contain an entry stating that the State dismissed the specifications, despite the fact that State has indicated in its brief that the specifications were dismissed. *Hamrick*, which this Court cited with approval in *Hayes*, "held that a journal entry that fails to reflect the disposition of a specification included in an indictment is not a final appealable order." *Hayes*, at *1. Thus, as the major drug offender specifications for which Lewis

3

was charged in the indictment remain outstanding, we lack a final appealable order and are

required to dismiss this appeal.

III.

 $\{\P 6\}$ In light of the foregoing, we dismiss the appeal as we lack jurisdiction to consider

it.

Appeal dismissed.

Immediately upon the filing hereof, this document shall constitute the journal entry of

judgment, and it shall be file stamped by the Clerk of the Court of Appeals at which time the

period for review shall begin to run. App.R. 22(E). The Clerk of the Court of Appeals is

instructed to mail a notice of entry of this judgment to the parties and to make a notation of the

mailing in the docket, pursuant to App.R. 30.

Costs taxed to Appellant.

EVE V. BELFANCE

FOR THE COURT

MOORE, P. J. WHITMORE, J.

CONCUR

<u>APPEARANCES:</u>

KREIG J. BRUSNAHAN, Attorney at Law, for Appellant.

DENNIS P. WILL, Prosecuting Attorney, and BILLIE JO BELCHER, Assistant Prosecuting

Attorney, for Appellee.