IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO :

Plaintiff-Appellee : C.A. CASE NO. 19048

v. : T.C. NO. 01 CR 216

SHAWNTAE M. DIXON : (Criminal Appeal from

Common Pleas Court)

Defendant-Appellant

.

OPINION

Rendered on the <u>14th</u> day of <u>June</u>, 2002.

.

CARLEY J. INGRAM, Atty. Reg. No. 0020084, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, 301 W. Third Street, 5th Floor, Dayton, Ohio 45422
Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee

KEITH A. FRICKER, Atty. Reg. No. 0037355, 7460 Brandt Pike, Huber Heights, Ohio 45424

Attorney for Defendant-Appellant

.

WOLFF, P.J.

{¶1} After a trial by jury, Shawntae Dixon was found guilty of two counts of kidnaping, four counts of felonious assault, and six counts of complicity to commit rape. The trial court imposed concurrent sentences of eight years on the two kidnaping and four felonious assault counts and concurrent terms of ten years on the six counts of complicity to commit rape. The court ordered the concurrent eight year sentences to be

2

consecutive to the concurrent ten year sentences, for a total aggregate sentence of

eighteen years. The trial court also determined that Dixon was a sexual predator.

[¶2] Counsel for Dixon filed a notice of appeal, and appellate counsel was

appointed by the court to prosecute the appeal. On February 1, 2002, appointed

appellate counsel filed an Anders brief pursuant to Anders v. California (1986), 386

U.S.738, wherein he represented that after review of the record he could find no

arguably meritorious issues for appellate review.

{¶3} On February 5, 2002, this court, by decision and entry, notified Dixon that

her appointed appellate counsel had filed an *Anders* brief, and we granted Dixon sixty

days from February 5, 2002, to file any pro se assignments of error that she wished to

present to this court.

¶4 No response to this decision and entry has been received by this court.

95 Pursuant to our responsibilities under *Anders*, we have independently

reviewed the entire record in this case and conclude, as did appointed appellate

counsel, that there are no arguably meritorious issues for appellate review and that an

appeal in this case would be frivolous.

{¶6} Accordingly, the judgment appealed from will be affirmed.

.

GRADY, J. and YOUNG, J., concur.

Copies mailed to:

Carley J. Ingram Keith A. Fricker

Hon. Dennis J. Langer