

[Cite as *State v. McAllister*, 2003-Ohio-6075.]

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO	:	
	:	
Plaintiff-Appellee	:	C.A. CASE NO. 19774
v.	:	T.C. CASE NO. 02 CR 4749
CHARLES K. MCALLISTER	:	(Criminal Appeal from Common Pleas Court)
	:	Defendant-Appellant

**OPINION**

Rendered on the 14<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2003.

CARLEY J. INGRAM, Atty. Reg. No. 0020084, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, 301 W. Third Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Dayton, Ohio 45422  
Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee

CHARLES W. SLICER, III, Atty. Reg. No. 0059927, 111 W. First Street, Suite 401, Dayton, Ohio 45402  
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant

CHARLES K. MCALLISTER, #A439-760, London Correctional Institute, P. O. Box 69, London, Ohio 43140-0069  
Defendant-Appellant

FREDERICK N. YOUNG, J.

{¶1} Charles K. McAllister entered a plea of guilty by way of bill of information

to one count of attempt to commit robbery (by force). He was sentenced to a term of seventeen months imprisonment. McAllister filed a notice of appeal, and in due course, his appointed appellate counsel filed an extensive *Anders* brief, which thoroughly examined the record and the law and concluded that there were no meritorious issues for appeal.

{¶2} On August 6, 2003, we informed McAllister of the fact that his counsel had filed an *Anders* brief and granted him sixty days from August 6, 2003 to file his pro se brief, if any.

{¶3} No such pro se brief has been filed.

{¶4} We have thoroughly examined the record of the proceedings in this case, and we agree with the assessment of appellate counsel that there are no meritorious issues for appellate review.

{¶5} The judgment appealed from will be affirmed.

.....

FAIN, P.J. and GRADY, J., concur.

Copies mailed to:

- Carley J. Ingram
- Charles W. Slicer, III
- Charles K. McAllister
- Hon. Mary E. Donovan