

[Cite as *State v. Richardson*, 2003-Ohio-6677.]

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO	:	
	:	
Plaintiff-Appellee	:	C.A. CASE NO. 19866
v.	:	T.C. CASE NO. 2002 CR 2982
	:	
RONALD RICHARDSON	:	(Criminal Appeal from Common Pleas Court)
	:	Defendant-Appellant

OPINION

Rendered on the 12th day of December, 2003.

CARLEY J. INGRAM, Atty. Reg. No. 0020084, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, 301 W. Third Street, 5th Floor, Dayton, Ohio 45422
Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee

PATRICK J. CONBOY II, Atty. Reg. No. 0070073, 5613 Brandt Pike, Huber Heights, Ohio 45424
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant

RONALD RICHARDSON, #446-185, Montgomery Education & Pre-Release Ctr., 1901 South Gettysburg Ave., P. O. Box 17399, Dayton, Ohio 45417
Defendant-Appellant

FREDERICK N. YOUNG, J.

{¶1} Ronald Richardson entered a plea of guilty to possession of crack cocaine and tampering with evidence. He was sentenced to a term of twelve months on the

possession charge and three years on the tampering charge, to be served concurrently. Richardson filed a notice of appeal and, in due course, his appointed appellate counsel filed an extensive *Anders* brief, which thoroughly examined the record and the law and concluded that there were no meritorious issues for appeal.

{¶2} On September 3, 2003, we informed Richardson of the fact that his counsel had filed an *Anders* brief and granted him sixty days from September 3, 2003, to file his pro se brief, if any.

{¶3} No such pro se brief has been filed.

{¶4} We have thoroughly examined the record of the proceedings in this case, and we agree with the assessment of appellate counsel that there are no meritorious issues for appellate review.

{¶5} The judgment appealed from will be affirmed.

.....

FAIN, P.J. and BROGAN, J., concur.

Copies mailed to:

- Carley J. Ingram
- Patrick J. Conboy II
- Ronald Richardson
- Hon. Barbara P. Gorman