## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR CHAMPAIGN COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO :

Plaintiff-Appellee : C.A. CASE NO. 2003 CA 18

v. : T.C. CASE NO. 2001 CR 36

BRIAN J. BIDDLE : (Criminal Appeal from

Common Pleas Court)

Defendant-Appellant

:

. . . . . . . . . . .

## <u>OPINION</u>

Rendered on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of April, 2004.

. . . . . . . . . .

NICK A. SELVAGGIO, Atty. Reg. No. 0055607, Prosecuting Attorney, 200 North Main Street, Urbana, Ohio 43078

Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee

SCOTT M. CALAWAY, Atty. Reg. No. 0068350, 245 Orchard Drive, Dayton, Ohio 45419

Attorney for Defendant-Appellant

BRIAN J. BIDDLE, Atty. Reg. No. A436-753, Southeastern Correctional Institute, P. O. Box 200, Lancaster, Ohio 43130-0200

. . . . . . . . . .

FREDERICK N. YOUNG, J.

 $\{\P 1\}$  Brian Biddle was found guilty of community control violations. His

community control was revoked and he was sentenced to a term of eleven months incarceration. He filed a notice of appeal and, in due course, his appointed appellate counsel filed an *Anders* brief, which thoroughly examined the record and the law and concluded that there were no meritorious issues for appeal.

{¶2} On January 20, 2004, we informed Biddle of the fact that his counsel had filed an *Anders* brief and granted him sixty days from January 20, 2004, to file his pro se brief, if any.

- {¶3} No such pro se brief has been filed.
- {¶4} We have thoroughly examined the record of the proceedings in this case, and we agree with the assessment of appellate counsel that there are no meritorious issues for appellate review.
  - {¶5} The judgment appealed from will be affirmed.

. . . . . . . . . .

FAIN, P.J. and BROGAN, J., concur.

Copies mailed to:

Nick A. Selvaggio Scott M. Calaway Brian J. Biddle Hon. Roger B. Wilson