

[Cite as *State v. Straight*, 2005-Ohio-4515.]

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO	:	
	:	
Plaintiff-Appellee	:	C.A. CASE NO. 20786
v.	:	T.C. NO. 04 CR 2264
ANNA STRAIGHT	:	(Criminal Appeal from
	:	Common Pleas Court)
Defendant-Appellant	:	
	:	

**OPINION**

Rendered on the 19<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2005.

CARLEY J. INGRAM, Atty. Reg. No. 0020084, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, 301 West Third Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Dayton, Ohio 45422  
Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee

LUCAS W. WILDER, Atty. Reg. No. 0074057, 120 West Second Street, Suite 400, Dayton, Ohio 45402  
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant

ANNA STRAIGHT, #W059343, Ohio Reformatory for Women, 1479 Collins Ave., Marysville, Ohio 43040  
Defendant-Appellant

DONOVAN, J.

{¶1} Defendant-appellant appeals from her conviction and sentence of assault upon an employee of a public children’s services agency in violation of R.C. 2903.13.

Defendant-appellant was sentenced to eleven months at the Ohio Reformatory for Women. Straight's appellate counsel has filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967), 386 U.S. 738, indicating he is unable to locate any viable issues on appeal. By entry filed herein on February 24, 2005, we advised Straight that her appellate counsel had filed an *Anders* brief and allowed her sixty days within which to file her own brief. Straight has not filed her own pro se brief. Straight did submit a letter to this court which was made part of the record on appeal by entry dated June 2, 2005.

{12} Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, supra, we have independently reviewed the record. We agree with Straight's appellate counsel that there are no potential assignments of error having arguable merit. Straight was initially charged by indictment with assault (CSB worker), a felony of the fifth degree in violation of R.C. 2903.13. Counsel was appointed to represent Straight and a guilty plea was entered to the indicted charge.

{13} We have thoroughly reviewed the plea hearing and the record demonstrates the trial court properly advised Straight of her rights. The trial court specifically advised Straight of the potential penalties that could be imposed, stating "the court could also sentence you to 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 or 12 months plus a period of post release control."

{14} The court fully complied with Crim.R. 11(C) in accepting the plea. Further, a review of the record reveals Straight entered her plea voluntarily with full understanding of her rights and the potential penalties. Straight also responded, "yes" to a question from the court indicating satisfaction with her court appointed counsel.

{15} Finally, we have reviewed the sentencing hearing and note the trial court

stated with substantial particularity the reasons and factors for imposing a sentence greater than the minimum.

{16} Like Straight’s appellate counsel, we find no potential assignments of error having arguable merit. Accordingly, we conclude this appeal is without merit. The judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

.....

FAIN, J. and GRADY, J., concur.

Copies mailed to:

- Carley J. Ingram
- Lucas W. Wilder
- Anna Straight
- Hon. Mary Katherine Huffman