IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT MONTGOMERY COUNTY

OHIO NEIGHBORHOOD FINANCE, INC. : dba CASHLAND	: Appellate Case No. 23675
Plaintiff-Appellant	: Trial Court Case No. 09-CVF-848
v. JOSEPH HEADER	: (Civil Appeal from Montgomery : County Area II Court) :
Defendant-Appellee	· : :
<u>OPINION</u>	
Rendered on the 10 th day of December, 2010.	
ANTHONY M. SHARETT, Atty. Reg. #007 Reg. #0083696, Bricker & Eckler LLP, 1 43215	
Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellant	
JOSEPH HEADER, 5031 Castleview Court, Huber Heights, Ohio 45424 Defendant-Appellee, <i>pro se</i>	
BROGAN, J.	

{¶ 1} Appellant Ohio Neighborhood Finance, Inc. ("Ohio Neighborhood")

appeals the decision of the Montgomery County Area II Court, granting judgment

against Joseph Header for the sum of \$798.87, plus costs and attorneys' fees, plus

post-judgment interest at 5% per annum. Ohio Neighborhood argues that the trial court improperly lowered the rate of interest from 25% to 5%, because Ohio Neighborhood and Header agreed upon the 25% interest rate, and that amount should be enforced. Since the agreed upon interest rate in the contract was 25%, that amount should be applied to the judgment. For the following reasons, we reverse the judgment of the trial court.

Τ

- {¶ 2} On December 13, 2008, Ohio Neighborhood made a loan to Header for the amount of \$890.00. Aside from repaying the \$890.00, Header agreed to a loan origination charge of \$100.00, and a credit investigation fee of \$10.00. After the fees and interest, Header was required to pay an aggregate sum of \$1,008.87 due December 26, 2008. In the "Promise to Pay" section of the customer agreement that Header signed, it states in pertinent part:
- {¶3} "You promise to pay us \$890.00 (the Principal Amount on this loan) plus interest at a rate of 25% per annum on the principal outstanding for the time outstanding from the date of this Customer Agreement until paid in full."
- {¶ 4} On December 26, 2008, Header was unable to repay the loan. After Ohio Neighborhood demanded the amount be repaid, Header failed to repay the amount due under the loan agreement.
- {¶ 5} Ohio Neighborhood filed a complaint in the Montgomery County Area II Court, seeking judgment against Header for the amount of \$798.87 plus the interest of 25% per annum beginning on the date of default, along with court costs and

reasonable attorneys' fees as permitted by statute and contract. Header failed to respond or plead to the complaint, and Ohio Neighborhood filed its motion for default judgment.

{¶ 6} On August 26, 2009, the trial court journalized the judgment entry and awarded Ohio Neighborhood \$798.87, plus court costs and attorneys' fees. Instead of awarding the interest rate of 25%, the trial court awarded 5% interest per annum on the unpaid principal from the date of judgment.

Ш

- \P 7} Ohio Neighborhood puts forth one assignment of error, which states as follows:
- {¶ 8} "THE TRIAL COURT COMMITTED REVERSIBLE ERROR IN REDUCING TO 5% PER ANNUM, THE INTEREST RATE ON THE DEBT IN THE DEFAULT JUDGMENT GRANTED IN FAVOR OF APPELLANT OHIO NEIGHBORHOOD FINANCE, INC."
- {¶9} Ohio Neighborhood argues that it is permitted by statute to contract a loan with an interest rate as long as that interest rate does not exceed 25%, and since both parties agreed upon that amount of interest, the trial court may not unilaterally alter the amount of interest. We agree.
 - **{¶ 10}** R.C. 1343.03(A) states, in pertinent part:
- {¶ 11} "In cases other than those provided for in sections 1343.01 and 1343.02 of the Revised Code, when money becomes due and payable upon any bond, bill, note, or other instrument of writing, upon any book account, upon any

settlement between parties, upon all verbal contracts entered into, and upon all judgments, decrees, and orders of any judicial tribunal for the payment of money arising out of tortious conduct or a contract or other transaction, the creditor is entitled to interest at the rate per annum determined pursuant to section 5703.47 of the Revised Code, unless a written contract provides a different rate of interest in relation to the money that becomes due and payable, in which case the creditor is

{¶ 12} When construing R.C. 1343.03(A), if a written loan agreement contains an agreed upon interest rate, that interest rate should be applied to the judgment, as long as that rate is permitted by law. *American General Finance, Inc. v. Bauer* (May 4, 2001), Delaware App. No. 00CAG8023.

 $\{\P\ 13\}$ In the present case, the agreed upon interest rate was at 25% which is permissible, and that rate should have been applied to the judgment.

{¶ 14} Ohio Neighborhood's assignment of error is sustained.

entitled to interest at the rate provided in that contract."

Ш

{¶ 15} Ohio Neighborhood's assignment of error being sustained, the judgment of the trial court is reversed and remanded for a judgment consistent with this opinion.

.

DONOVAN, P.J., and FAIN, J., concur.

Copies mailed to:

Anthony M. Sharett M. Breck Valentine Joseph Header Hon. James A. Hensley, Jr.