

{¶3} In considering appellant's assignment of error and arguments in support thereof, this court reviewed the record of this cause, the relevant case law and applied this law. After doing so, we conclude that the well-reasoned opinion and judgment entry of the trial judge properly determines and correctly disposes of the issue appellant now raises in this appeal. We therefore adopt the judgment of the trial court as our own. See Appendix A. Appellant's assignment of error is found not well-taken.

{¶4} The judgment of the Lucas County Court of Common Pleas is affirmed. Appellant is ordered to pay the costs of this appeal.

JUDGMENT AFFIRMED.

A certified copy of this entry shall constitute the mandate pursuant to App.R. 27. See, also, 6th Dist.Loc.App.R. 4, amended 1/1/98.

Melvin L. Resnick, J.

JUDGE

Richard W. Knepper, J.

JUDGE

Mark L. Pietrykowski, P.J.
CONCUR.

JUDGE