

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO
SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT
ERIE COUNTY

State of Ohio, ex rel.
Norma Schmidt nka Phillips

Plaintiff

v.

Jonathan Schmidt

Appellant

[Erie County Child Support
Enforcement Agency - Appellee]

Court of Appeals No. E-09-011

Trial Court No. 2003-DR-233

DECISION AND JUDGMENT

Decided: January 29, 2010

* * * * *

Elizabeth F. Wilber, for appellant.

* * * * *

HANDWORK, J.

{¶ 1} This appeal is from the March 4, 2009 judgment of the Erie County Court of Common Pleas, which approved and adopted the magistrate's decision finding appellant, Jonathan Schmidt, in contempt for failing to meet his child support obligation.

Upon consideration of the assignments of error, we reverse the decision of the lower court. Jonathon Schmidt asserts the following assignments of error on appeal:

{¶ 2} "APPELLANT' [sic] ARGUMENT OF ERROR I

{¶ 3} "Whether in the interest of justice, the trial court should have granted the Motion for Leave to File Notice of Objection, Instanter.

{¶ 4} "APPELLANT' [sic] ARGUMENT OF ERROR II

{¶ 5} "In the alternative, Defendant's attorney provided ineffective assistance of counsel by failing to notify her client of the right to object to the Magistrate's Decision in a timely fashion, thereby denying him that right."

{¶ 6} The parties were divorced in 2005. Jonathan Schmidt's monthly child support obligation was determined to be \$373.15. In a May 30, 2008 judgment, the trial court agreed that there had been a substantial change in circumstances and recalculated Jonathan Schmidt's child support obligation to be \$242.50 commencing retroactively from January 1, 2008. Furthermore, because of a question of whether he was voluntarily under-employed, the court further ordered Jonathan Schmidt to provide proof to the Erie County Child Support Enforcement Agency that he was seeking employment each week from at least three employers.

{¶ 7} On July 16, 2008, Norma Schmidt filed a motion to show cause on the ground that Jonathan Schmidt had failed to make the child support payments ordered by the court in its May 30, 2008 order. On July 31, 2008, the court found that the motion was well-founded and ordered Jonathan Schmidt to appear for a contempt hearing. A

hearing before a magistrate was held on October 16, 2008. Based upon the testimony presented, the magistrate found that Jonathan Schmidt was \$3,517.91 in arrears on his child support obligation and that Jonathan Schmidt's explanations of why he was unable to meet his obligation was not credible. Therefore, the magistrate proposed that Jonathan Schmidt be found in contempt, sentenced to thirty days in the Erie County Jail, and fined \$100. While the judgment was dated October 22, 2008, the judgment was not journalized until November 12, 2008.

{¶ 8} On November 6, 2008, Jonathan Schmidt moved for leave to file his objections to the magistrate's decision. He attested that his attorney was on vacation from October 24 through November 3, 2008 and did not receive the court's order until her return. Therefore, he was unable to timely request a continuance. On November 12, 2008, the trial court denied the motion to file the objections. Jonathan Schmidt then filed a motion for reconsideration of the trial court's prior order, which the trial court denied on December 15, 2008.

{¶ 9} The trial court approved and adopted the magistrate's decision on January 13, 2009, but that order was not journalized until March 4, 2009. Jonathan Schmidt filed his notice of appeal from that order on March 9, 2009.

{¶ 10} A court speaks through its journal entry and a judgment is not rendered until it is reduced to a written journal entry and filed with the clerk for journalization. *State ex rel. White v. Junkin*, 80 Ohio St.3d 335, 337, 1997-Ohio-340, and Civ.R. 58(A). Likewise, a magistrate's decision must be filed with the clerk for journalization. Civ.R.

53(B)(3)(a)(iii). Objections to the magistrate's decision must be filed within fourteen days after the decision is journalized. Civ.R. 53(D)(3)(b).

{¶ 11} Since the magistrate's decision in this case was not journalized until November 12, 2008, Jonathon Schmidt's motion for leave to file objections to the decision filed on November 6, 2008 was timely. The trial court erred as a matter of law by denying the motion. Therefore, we find Jonathon Schmidt's first assignment of error well-taken and his second assignment of error moot.

{¶ 12} Having found that the trial court did commit error prejudicial to appellant and that substantial justice has not been done, the judgment of the Erie County Court of Common Pleas is reversed. This case is remanded to the trial court for further proceedings consistent with this judgment. Appellee is hereby ordered to pay the costs of this appeal pursuant to App.R. 24.

JUDGMENT REVERSED.

A certified copy of this entry shall constitute the mandate pursuant to App.R. 27. See, also, 6th Dist.Loc.App.R. 4.

State ex rel. Schmidt
v. Schmidt
C.A. No. E-09-011

Peter M. Handwork, J.

JUDGE

Mark L. Pietrykowski, J.

JUDGE

Arlene Singer, J.
CONCUR.

JUDGE

This decision is subject to further editing by the Supreme Court of Ohio's Reporter of Decisions. Parties interested in viewing the final reported version are advised to visit the Ohio Supreme Court's web site at:
<http://www.sconet.state.oh.us/rod/newpdf/?source=6>.