

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO
SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT
LUCAS COUNTY

Rosalene Ensley

Court of Appeals No. L-11-1026

Appellee

Trial Court No. CI201008246

v.

Anthony Glover

DECISION AND JUDGMENT

Appellant

Decided: May 24, 2011

* * * * *

Bertrand R. Puligandla, for appellant.

* * * * *

PER CURIAM.

{¶ 1} Appellant, Anthony Glover, filed his appellant's brief in this appeal on April 12, 2011. On April 26, 2011, appellant filed a motion for leave of court to file an amended brief. The reason for the request is the need to correct errors made in the original brief. Appellant indicates that the original brief was premised on the understanding that the protection order concerned in this appeal was issued under R.C.

3113.31 when the order was in fact issued under R.C. 2903.214. According to appellant, the error makes appellant's first argument in the original brief irrelevant and the second argument incomplete.

{¶ 2} Appellant states that appellee will not be prejudiced by granting leave to file an amended brief to correct the error. Appellee has not yet filed an answer brief to the original appellant's brief and has not opposed appellant's motion to file an amended brief. This appeal has been assigned to the court's accelerated calendar.

{¶ 3} Good cause appearing, we grant the motion to file an amended appellant's brief. Appellant is granted leave to serve and file an amended appellant's brief on or before 14 days after the filing of this order. Appellee shall serve and file her brief within 15 days after service of the amended appellant's brief.

{¶ 4} It is so ordered.

Mark L. Pietrykowski, J.

JUDGE

Arlene Singer, J.

JUDGE

Stephen A. Yarbrough, J.
CONCUR.

JUDGE

This decision is subject to further editing by the Supreme Court of Ohio's Reporter of Decisions. Parties interested in viewing the final reported version are advised to visit the Ohio Supreme Court's web site at:
<http://www.sconet.state.oh.us/rod/newpdf/?source=6>.