## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT LUCAS COUNTY

State of Ohio Court of Appeals No. L-10-1314

Appellee Trial Court No. CR0200903404

v.

George Ridley <u>DECISION AND JUDGMENT</u>

Appellant Decided: July 13, 2011

\* \* \* \* \*

George Ridley, pro se.

\* \* \* \* \*

## PER CURIAM.

- {¶ 1} Appellant, George Ridley, has filed a motion pro se to strike certain telephone recordings from the record on appeal. Appellant is represented by counsel and this court cannot entertain motions filed by appellant pro se. See *State v. Tenace*, 109 Ohio St.3d 451, 2006-Ohio-2987, ¶ 10; *State v. Keenan* (1998), 81 Ohio St.3d 133. In *State v. Keenan*, the Ohio Supreme Court explained:
- $\{\P\ 2\}$  "A defendant has no right to a 'hybrid' form of representation wherein he is represented by counsel, but also acts simultaneously as his own counsel. *McKaskle*, 465

U.S. at 183, 104 S. Ct. at 953, 79 L. Ed. 2d at 136; *State v. Thompson* (1987), 33 Ohio St. 3d 1, 6, 514 N.E.2d 407, 414." *State v. Keenan* at 138.

 $\{\P\ 3\}$  Accordingly, the motion is ordered stricken from the record.

MOTION DENIED.

Mark L. Pietrykowski, J.	
•	JUDGE
Thomas J. Osowik, P.J.	
Stephen A. Yarbrough, J. CONCUR.	JUDGE
	JUDGE