## OPINIONS OF THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

The full texts of the opinions of the Supreme Court of Ohio are being transmitted electronically beginning May 27, 1992, pursuant to a pilot project implemented by Chief Justice Thomas J. Moyer.

Please call any errors to the attention of the Reporter's Office of the Supreme Court of Ohio. Attention: Walter S. Kobalka, Reporter, or Deborah J. Barrett, Administrative Assistant. Tel.: (614) 466-4961; in Ohio 1-800-826-9010. Your comments on this pilot project are also welcome.

NOTE: Corrections may be made by the Supreme Court to the full texts of the opinions after they have been released electronically to the public. The reader is therefore advised to check the bound volumes of Ohio St.3d published by West Publishing Company for the final versions of these opinions. The advance sheets to Ohio St.3d will also contain the volume and page numbers where the opinions will be found in the bound volumes of the Ohio Official Reports.

The State of Ohio, Appellant, v. Weaver, Appellee.
[Cite as State v. Weaver (1995), Ohio St.3d .]
Appeal dismissed as improvidently allowed.
(No. 93-2636 -- Submitted March 22,1995 -- Decided April 26, 1995.)

Appeal from the Court of Appeals for Champaign County, No. 93 CA 02.

Darrell L. Heckman, Champaign County Prosecuting Attorney, for appellant.

David H. Bodiker, Ohio Public Defender, Gloria Eyerly and Kort Gatterdam, Assistant Public Defenders, for appellee.

Joseph T. Deters, Hamilton County Prosecuting Attorney, and William E. Breyer, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, urging reversal for amicus curiae, Ohio Prosecuting Attorneys' Association.

This cause is dismissed, sua sponte, as having been improvidently allowed.

The court orders that the court of appeals' opinion not be published in the Ohio Official Reports, and that it may not be cited as authority except by the parties inter se.

Wright, Resnick, F.E. Sweeney and Pfeifer, JJ., concur. Moyer, C.J., Douglas and Cook, JJ., dissent, and would reverse the judgment of the court of appeals and reinstate the judgment of the trial court.