

OPINIONS OF THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

The full texts of the opinions of the Supreme Court of Ohio are being transmitted electronically beginning May 27, 1992, pursuant to a pilot project implemented by Chief Justice Thomas J. Moyer.

Please call any errors to the attention of the Reporter's Office of the Supreme Court of Ohio. Attention: Walter S. Kobalka, Reporter, or Deborah J. Barrett, Administrative Assistant. Tel.: (614) 466-4961; in Ohio 1-800-826-9010. Your comments on this pilot project are also welcome.

NOTE: Corrections may be made by the Supreme Court to the full texts of the opinions after they have been released electronically to the public. The reader is therefore advised to check the bound volumes of Ohio St.3d published by West Publishing Company for the final versions of these opinions. The advance sheets to Ohio St.3d will also contain the volume and page numbers where the opinions will be found in the bound volumes of the Ohio Official Reports.

The State of Ohio, Appellee, v. Burton, Appellant.

[Cite as State v. Burton (1995), Ohio St.3d .]

Appellate procedure -- Application for reopening appeal from judgment and conviction based on claim of ineffective assistance of appellate counsel -- Application denied when applicant fails to state a colorable claim of ineffective assistance of appellate counsel.

(No. 94-1648 -- Submitted April 24, 1995 -- Decided August 9, 1995.)

Appeal from the Court of Appeals for Hamilton County, No. C-930174.

Appellant, Kevin Burton, was convicted of felonious assault and, according to appellant, sentenced to from five to fifteen years in prison. The court of appeals for Hamilton County affirmed the judgment of the trial court. State v. Burton (Dec. 17, 1993), Hamilton App. No. C-930174, unreported.

On June 17, 1994, the court of appeals denied appellant's application for delayed reconsideration, which the court considered as an application for reopening pursuant to App. R. 26(B). The court of appeals found that appellant had failed to state a colorable claim of ineffective assistance of appellate counsel.

Kevin Burton, pro se.

Per Curiam. We affirm the judgment of the court of appeals for the reasons stated in its judgment entry.

Judgment affirmed.

Moyer, C.J., Douglas, Wright, Resnick, F.E. Sweeney, Pfeifer and Cook, JJ., concur.