

1 THE STATE OF OHIO, APPELLEE, V. CASAS ET AL., APPELLANTS.

2 [Cite as *State v. Casas* (1996), ___ Ohio St.3d ____.]

3 *Criminal law -- When police officer stops vehicle based on probable*
4 *cause that traffic violation has occurred, the stop is not*
5 *unreasonable under the Fourth Amendment to the United States*
6 *Constitution even if the officer had some ulterior motive for making*
7 *the stop.*

8 (No. 96-679 -- Submitted April 30, 1996 -- Decided July 24, 1996.)

9 CERTIFIED by the Court of Appeals for Medina County, Nos. 2451-M
10 and 2452-M.

11 _____

12 *Dunn & Hare Co., L.P.A, and Kevin W. Dunn, for appellants.*

13 _____

14 The judgment of the court of appeals is affirmed on the authority

15 *Dayton v. Erickson* (1996), 76 Ohio St.3d 12, 665 N.E.2d 1091

16 MOYER, C.J., DOUGLAS, RESNICK, F.E. SWEENEY, COOK and STRATTON,
17 JJ., concur.

18 PFEIFER, J., dissents for the reasons stated in his dissenting opinion
19 in *Dayton v. Erickson* (1996), 76 Ohio St.3d 12, 665 N.E.2d 1091, 1098.

20