

1 Office of Disciplinary Counsel v. Phillips.

2 [Cite as Disciplinary Counsel v. Phillips (1997), \_\_\_\_\_Ohio St.3d \_\_\_\_\_.]

3 *Attorneys at law -- Misconduct -- One-year suspension reinstated --*  
4 *Failure to comply with conditions of probation of previous*  
5 *disciplinary proceeding.*

6 (No. 94-1810 -- Submitted January 22, 1997 -- Decided April 30,  
7 1997.)

8 ON CERTIFIED REPORT of the Board of Commissioners on Grievances  
9 and Discipline of the Supreme Court, No. 93-86.

10 On December 7, 1994, we suspended respondent, Thomas Ewing  
11 Phillips of Chillicothe, Ohio, Attorney Registration No. 0008582, from the  
12 practice of law for one year, with that one year stayed, and we placed  
13 respondent on probation for two years on the following conditions: (a) that  
14 an attorney appointed by Disciplinary Counsel, relator, monitor  
15 respondent's law office and practice and management for these two years,  
16 (b) that respondent attend twelve hours of continuing legal education in law  
17 office management in addition to the twenty-four hours of CLE he is  
18 required to complete by December 31, 1996, (c) that respondent enter into a  
19 contract with the Ohio Lawyers Assistance Program ("OLAP") and abide by

1 its terms for a minimum of the next two years, and (d) that no disciplinary  
2 complaints be certified to the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and  
3 Discipline (“board”) by a probable cause panel within the next two years.  
4 *Disciplinary Counsel v. Phillips* (1994), 71 Ohio St.3d. 98, 642 N.E.2d 344.

5 On February 16, 1996, respondent pled guilty to the misdemeanor of  
6 having an open container of beer or intoxicating liquor in a motor vehicle.

7 By April 17, 1996, respondent had not yet participated in the Ohio  
8 Lawyers’s Assistance Program, and on June 17, 1996, a probable cause  
9 panel of the board certified a formal complaint filed by relator alleging that  
10 respondent violated five Disciplinary Rules and one Rule for the  
11 Governance of the Bar. Based on these facts relator filed an “Amended  
12 Petition for Revocation of Probation” on June 25, 1996. On October 10,  
13 1996, pursuant to Gov.Bar R.V(9)(I), this court revoked respondent’s  
14 probation and reinstated his original one-year suspension pending the  
15 issuance of a final order.

16 A panel of the board held a hearing on this matter on July 19, 1996.  
17 Witnesses included Carl Jones, one of respondent’s monitoring attorneys,  
18 William Haase, Director of OLAP, Dr. Richard O. Pelham, Clinical Director

1 of OLAP, Jeanie Phillips, respondent's former wife, and respondent. On the  
2 basis of the evidence, the panel found that respondent had not entered into  
3 a contract with the OLAP, and by his own admission had used alcohol  
4 during his period of probation. The panel also found that on June 17, 1996,  
5 a certified disciplinary complaint was filed against the respondent.

6 The panel recommended that respondent's two-year probation be  
7 revoked and that the order of December 7, 1994 suspending respondent for  
8 one year be reinstated with no probation.

9

---

10 *Geoffrey Stern*, Disciplinary Counsel, and *Sally Ann Steuck*, Assistant  
11 Disciplinary Counsel for relator.

12 *Thomas Ewing Phillips, pro se.*

13

---

14 *Per Curiam.* We adopt the findings and recommendations of the  
15 panel and hereby suspend respondent from the practice of law in Ohio for a  
16 period of one year from the date of this order. Costs of these proceedings  
17 taxed to respondent.

18

*Judgment accordingly.*

1 MOYER, C.J., DOUGLAS, RESNICK, F.E. SWEENEY, PFEIFER, COOK

2 and LUNDBERG STRATTON, JJ., concur.

3