WARD, APPELLANT, v. WAL-MART STORES, INC., APPELLEE. [Cite as Ward v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 99 Ohio St.3d 210, 2003-Ohio-3138.]

Torts — Negligence — Open-and-obvious doctrine remains viable in Ohio — Court of appeals' judgment affirmed on authority of Armstrong v. Best Buy Co., Inc.

(Nos. 2002-0295 and 2002-0504 — Submitted June 4, 2003 — Decided July 2, 2003.)

APPEAL from and CERTIFIED by the Court of Appeals for Lake County, No. 2000-L-171, 2001-Ohio-4041.

{¶1} The judgment of the court of appeals is affirmed on the authority of *Armstrong v. Best Buy Co., Inc.*, 99 Ohio St.3d 79, 2003-Ohio-2573, 788 N.E.2d 1088.

MOYER, C.J., RESNICK, F.E. SWEENEY, LUNDBERG STRATTON and O'CONNOR, JJ., concur.

PFEIFER, J., dissents.

O'DONNELL, J., not participating.

Dworken & Bernstein Co., L.P.A., and Patrick T. Murphy, for appellant. Reminger & Reminger Co., L.P.A., and John O'Neill, for appellee.
