

**THE STATE OF OHIO, APPELLEE, v. HUFFMAN, APPELLANT.**

[Cite as *State v. Huffman*, 114 Ohio St.3d 433, 2007-Ohio-4553.]

*Child pornography – Court of appeals’ judgment affirmed on the authority of State v. Tooley.*

(No. 2006-0799 — Submitted August 14, 2007 — Decided September 19, 2007.)

APPEAL from the Court of Appeals for Hamilton County,  
No. C-050044, 165 Ohio App.3d 518, 2006-Ohio-1106.

---

{¶ 1} The judgment of the court of appeals is affirmed with respect to the issues presented in Proposition of Law Nos. I and II on the authority of *State v. Tooley*, 114 Ohio St.3d 366, 2007-Ohio-3698, \_\_\_ N.E.2d \_\_\_.

{¶ 2} As to Proposition of Law No. III, the cause is dismissed, sua sponte, as having been improvidently accepted.

MOYER, C.J., PFEIFER, LUNDBERG STRATTON, O’CONNOR, O’DONNELL, LANZINGER and CUPP, JJ., concur.

---

Joseph T. Deters, Hamilton County Prosecuting Attorney, and James Michael Keeling, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, for appellee.

Ravert J. Clark, for appellant.

---