BOLLAR, APPELLANT, v. EBERLIN, WARDEN, APPELLEE. [Cite as *Bollar v. Eberlin*, 121 Ohio St.3d 3, 2009-Ohio-258.]

Appeal from dismissal of a petition for a writ of habeas corpus – Adequate remedy at law available – Judgment affirmed.

(No. 2008-1890 — Submitted January 14, 2009 — Decided January 28, 2009.)

APPEAL from the Court of Appeals for Belmont County, No. 08 BE 5.

Per Curiam.

{¶1} We affirm the judgment of the court of appeals dismissing the petition of appellant, Gregory Earl Bollar, for a writ of habeas corpus, because he failed to attach all the commitment papers pertinent to his claim challenging the Ohio Adult Parole Authority's multiple revocations of his parole and extensions of his release date based on R.C. 2967.15(C)(1). See *State ex rel. Bray v. Brigano* (2001), 93 Ohio St.3d 458, 459, 755 N.E.2d 891, and *Tucker v. McAninch* (1998), 82 Ohio St.3d 423, 696 N.E.2d 595.

 $\{\P\ 2\}$ Notwithstanding Bollar's assertions to the contrary, those papers were material to his claim.

Judgment affirmed.

MOYER, C.J., and PFEIFER, LUNDBERG STRATTON, O'CONNOR, O'DONNELL, LANZINGER, and CUPP, JJ., concur.

Gregory Earl Bollar, pro se.

Richard Cordray, Attorney General, and Jerri L. Fosnaught, Assistant Attorney General, for appellee.